REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

of

Mike Luth

Rate Analyst

Rates Department Financial Analysis Division Illinois Commerce Commission

Illinois-American Water Company

Docket No. 02-0690

	OFFICIAL	FILE
April 11, 2003	ILL. C. C. DOCKET NO	02-0690
	FCC Sloff Witness	18.0 Revised
	Date 5/62/03 Reports	er <i>CB</i>

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Introduction to Testimony	1
Explanation of Revisions to Previously Filed Rebuttal Testimony	2
Rate Design	4
Streator Fully Included in Single Tariff Pricing Group	
Pontiac Fire ProtectionIndustrial Customers	
O'Fallon Testimony	10

Witness Identification

- 1 Q. Please state your name and business address.
- 2 A. Mike Luth, Illinois Commerce Commission, 527 East Capitol Avenue,
- 3 Springfield, Illinois 62701.
- 4 Q. Are you the same Mike Luth who filed testimony in this docket, which was
- 5 identified as ICC Staff Exhibit 8.0 with accompanying schedules?
- 6 A. Yes, Iam.

Introduction to Testimony

- 7 Q. What is the subject matter of this testimony?
- 8 A. In this phase of the docket I am submitting Exhibit 18.0 (Revised) and
- 9 accompanying Schedules 18.1, 18.2, 18.3, 18.4, and 18.5 (Revised) which
- present the water service rates for Illinois-American Water Company ("Illinois-
- American") service areas identified as the Southern Division, Peoria District,
- 12 Streator District, and Pontiac District. The rates are developed from the
- revenue requirement shown in Staff witness Theresa Ebrey's Exhibit 11.0,
- 14 Schedule 11.1 SPSP.
- 15 I will also address the direct testimony of City of O'Fallon witnesses Charles
- W. King and Dean Rich, and rebuttal testimony of Illinois-American witnesses
- Michael A. Rumer and Ronald D. Stafford, as those testimonies pertain to cost
- 18 of service and rate design.

Explanation of Revisions to Previously Filed Rebuttal Testimony

- Q. Please briefly explain the revisions that you have made to testimony previously
 filed as ICC Staff Exhibit 18.0 with supporting schedules.
- 21 I have corrected some minor errors to Schedule 18.1 - S/P/St. and Schedule A. 22 18.1 – Pontiac. The correction of the errors in Schedule 18.1 – S/P/St. has a 23 minor effect upon usage rates but no effect upon customer charges. The 24 change in usage rates in Schedule 18.1 – S/P/St. affects the bill comparisons 25 on Schedules 18.2 - S/P/St. and 18.4 - S/P/St. and the analysis of the large 26 user rate on Schedule 18.3 – S/P/St. The correction of the error in Schedule 27 18.1 – Pontiac does not affect rates, but it does affect class cost of service and the percentage that Staff-proposed rates recover the cost of service for 28 29 each class.

There are two revisions to Schedule 18.1 – S/P/St. One revision does not affect rates, which is a change in the analysis of equivalent meters and services shown on page 15. This change properly groups the Streator customer classes with Southern Division and Peoria District customer classes. The previously filed version of Schedule 18.1 – S/P/St. did not properly include Streator customers with Southern and Peoria customers in measuring equivalent meters and services. The change to equivalent meters and services does not, however, affect rates shown on page 1 of Schedule 18.1-S/P/St.

39

38

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

The second revision to Schedule 18.1 - S/P/St. originates in the re-allocation of small mains revenue requirement shown on page 10. The net adjustment should total \$0 because the amount re-allocated should equal the amount removed. In Schedule 18.1 - S/P/St. (Revised) the net adjustment totals \$0, but in Schedule 18.1 - S/P/St. as first filed on e-docket, the net adjustment totaled \$516,275. This resulted in an over-allocation of costs to the first two usage blocks and an under-allocation of costs recovered through the third and fourth usage blocks. This revision slightly decreases the first two usage block rates, slightly increases the third and fourth usage block rates, and slightly increases the large industrial rate shown on page 1 of Schedule 18.1 - S/P/St. (Revised).

The revision to Schedule 18.1 – Pontiac originates on page 10. Annual consumption for the Industrial customer class has been revised to eliminate demand billing units. Since demand billing units do not represent usage on an annual basis, demand billing units should not be included in developing class allocation factors based upon usage. This revision did not affect Staff-proposed rates, but the revision did affect class cost of service and, except for the Other Public Authorities customer class, narrowed the margin between total class revenues and class cost of service shown on page 1 of Schedule 18.1 – Pontiac (Revised). Narrowing the margin in the percentage of revenue recovery for the Other Public Authorities class cost of service does not warrant the necessary increases to the first and second usage blocks if a declining

block rate structure is to be maintained. Increases in the first and second usage block rates would increase the revenue recoveries from the Residential, Commercial, and Industrial classes. With the Residential and Commercial customer classes paying more than cost of service under Staff-proposed rates, and the Industrial class paying 99 percent of cost of service, it is not appropriate to increase the first and second usage block rates by a greater amount so that the revenue recovery from the Other Public Authorities customer class is closer to cost of service.

Rate Design

Α.

70 Q. Please describe Schedule 18.1.

Schedule 18.1 is an update of Schedule 8.1 that was included in my direct testimony. I prepared two versions of Schedule 18.1, one with suffix – S/P/St. and the other with suffix – Pontiac. Schedule 18.1 – S/P/St. presents the cost of service study ("COSS") and rates for the combined Southern Division, Peoria District, and Streator District. Schedule 18.1 – Pontiac presents the cost of service study and rates for the Pontiac District. As in Schedule 8.1 of my direct testimony, the cost of service studies and rates presented in Schedule 18.1 begin with plant-in-service balances and revenue requirements provided by the Company in its reply to Staff data request ML-1. As in Schedule 8.1 in my direct testimony, Staff adjustments to revenue requirement summarized in Ms. Ebrey's Schedule 11.1 are allocated between Schedule 18.1 – S/P/St. and Schedule 18.1 – Pontiac.

Streator Fully Included in Single Tariff Pricing Group

- Q. Why are you now grouping the Streator District with the Southern Division andthe Peoria District?
- 85 Α. The main reason is that, in direct testimony, I recommended the same rates for 86 the Streator District as the Southern Division (ICC Staff Exhibit 8.0, page 13, 87 lines 238 through 246). Grouping the Streator cost of service study with the 88 Southern Division and Peoria District is reasonable if, with the exception of the Peoria 3rd and 4th usage blocks, all three billing areas are to pay the same 89 90 rates. Grouping Streator with the Southern Division and the Peoria District 91 also has the effect of reducing the stand-alone Streator fire protection rates 92 that were presented in my direct testimony.
- 93 Q. Did the Company agree with fully including Streator in the STP group in determining cost of service and rates?
- Yes, Illinois-American witness Rumer suggested full inclusion of Streator with
 the Southern Division and Peoria District for cost of service and rates. One of
 the benefits of full inclusion of Streator cited by Mr. Rumer is that differences in
 public and private fire protection rates in the Streator District will be smoothed
 out (Illinois-American Exhibit R-6.0, page 4, lines 11 through 16). A
 comparison of Streator fire protection rates presented in Schedule 8.1 S &
 P of my direct testimony with Schedule 18.1 S/P/St. of my rebuttal testimony

shows that Streator fire protection rates are lower than if Streator fire protection rates were to be determined on a stand-alone basis.

Pontiac Fire Protection

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

Α.

104 Q. Have you included Pontiac with the STP group for fire protection?

Yes, I have in that I have compared Pontiac fire protection rates had Pontiac been part of the STP group with Pontiac fire protection rates on a stand-alone basis. As with Streator, including Pontiac in the STP group for fire protection decreases the monthly fire protection rates. Since Pontiac is to be moved into the STP group over a period of time, it is appropriate to compare Pontiac fire protection rates on a stand-alone basis with Pontiac fire protection rates as if Pontiac was part of the STP group. Since Pontiac fire protection rates would have been reduced had Pontiac been part of the STP group, I am recommending no change in current Pontiac fire protection rates, whether public or private. I do not recommend the reduction of Pontiac fire protection rates to the level the Pontiac fire protection rates would have been as part of the STP group, however, because with different usage rates and usage blocks, Pontiac is still separated to a degree from the STP group. Inclusion of the stand-alone Pontiac fire protection costs with the STP group fire protection costs would have caused an increase in the fire protection rates of other service areas in the STP group.

121 Q. Are you recommending a rate for private fire hydrants at Pontiac?

A. Yes, I am. In direct testimony, I did not present a rate for private fire hydrants at Pontiac, although the cost of service study calculated a monthly rate. Illinois-American does not currently have a private fire hydrant rate at Pontiac and did not present a new rate in its direct testimony (Exhibit 14.0, Schedule E-2, page 38 of 47). Given the Company's data request of me after I filed direct testimony and the rebuttal testimony of Mr. Rumer referencing my reply to the data request concerning a private fire hydrant rate at Pontiac, the Company apparently believes that it should have a private fire hydrant rate in effect at Pontiac. The private fire hydrant rate at Pontiac should be set at the cost of service rate shown on page 2 of Schedule 18.1. The amount of revenues realized from the private fire hydrant rate at Pontiac is not significant in the test year, with one customer billed monthly.

Industrial Customers

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

Have you recalculated the structure of the Metered Large User Water Service 134 Q. 135 rate presented in the Rebuttal Testimony of Illinois-American witness Michael 136 A. Rumer (IAWC Exhibit R-6.4)? 137 Α. Yes, I have, as shown on Schedule 18.3 - S/P/St. Mr. Rumer allocated the 138 small mains adjustment between base capacity and maximum demand (extra capacity) costs according to relative cost of service. Since small mains are 139 part of total mains -- large, medium, and small -- the small mains adjustment 140 141 should be allocated between base water and extra capacity according to the 142 percentages of mains considered to be base water and extra capacity. I have allocated the small mains adjustment according to the percentages shown for allocation factor 12, shown on Schedule 18.1 – S/P/St., page 4.

Do you agree with Mr. Rumer's suggestion to increase all usage blocks by the 145 Q. 146 same percentage (IAWC Exhibit R-6.0, page 1, line 11 through page 2, line 2). 147 rather than increasing high-usage blocks by larger percentages than small-148 usage blocks, as you recommended in direct testimony? 149 A. No, I do not agree with Mr. Rumer's proposal, although I have reduced the 4th 150 usage block for the Southern Division despite an increase in Staff's 151 recommended revenue requirement. Industrial customers, which constitute the bulk of 3rd and 4th block usage billing units, do not pay up to the level of cost of 152 153 service, while residential and commercial customers pay more than cost of 154 service, as shown on page 2 of Schedule 18.1 – S/P/St. Industrial customers 155 do not pay full cost of service largely because a significant amount of industrial 156 usage is billed at a discounted Competitive Services Tariff. If industrial

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

approximately 85 percent.

It is not clear how a straight-line percentage increase will affect potential loss of customers because the increase proposed by Mr. Rumer is fairly significant at 16.5 percent. My proposed restructuring of the 2nd, 3rd, and 4th usage

Competitive Services Tariff customers paid fourth block rates, however,

industrial customers would still pay less than cost of service, though revenue

recovery would be approximately 96 percent of cost of service rather than

blocks will shift some of the costs paid by undiscounted industrial Metered General Service customers to commercial customers, and to a lesser extent, residential customers. Part of the reasoning for allowing a discounted Competitive Service Tariff is to encourage large customers with potential alternative service options to remain with the Illinois-American system so that remaining customers will not have to pay more as a result of the loss of a significant customer. Spreading part of the loss of revenues from Competitive Service Tariff customers to customer groups other than industrial is therefore appropriate.

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

- 173 Q. Have you updated the Competitive Service Tariff applicable to certain174 Industrial and Municipal customers?
- 175 Yes, I have. The rates shown in my rates summary for customers served under 176 the Competitive Service Tariff are the rates effective on January 1, 2003. The 177 rates are revised annually depending for the most part upon the change in the 178 rate that those customers would have paid the City of St. Louis, as described 179 in the tariff. The revised rates are somewhat higher than the rates that I 180 estimated in direct testimony, with the result that other customers pay 181 somewhat less because of the increase in revenues from Competitive Service 182 Tariff customers.

O'Fallon Testimony

- 183 Q. Do you agree with the new wholesale rate suggested by City of O'Fallon witness King?
- No, I do not. The wholesale rate developed by Mr. King is based upon a 185 Α. 186 reduced cost of service for Other Water Utilities, otherwise identified as Sales 187 for Resale (O'Fallon Exhibit 1.6, page 11 of 17). Mr. King reduces the Sales 188 for Resale cost of service by reallocating costs from the Sales for Resale customer class to Residential, Commercial, Industrial, Large Industrial, Other 189 190 Public Authorities, and Fire Protection customer classes (ld., pages 11 and 15). In addition, Mr. King does not allocate any Maximum Hour costs to the 191 192 Sales for Resale class.
- 193 Q. Did Mr. King prepare a cost of service study?
- Yes, although the effect upon the rates of other classes of his reallocating costs 194 Α. 195 from the Sales for Resale class to other classes is not shown (O'Fallon Exhibit 1.6, pages 1 and 2). Revenue recoveries from rates do not show his Sales for 196 197 Resale rate, and instead show rates currently in effect and rates as proposed 198 by the Company for the Southern Division only. By excluding the Peoria 199 District from his cost of service study, the cost of service study and rates 200 presented in Mr. King's testimony are not developed according to STP 201 currently if effect for the Southern Division and the Peoria District. Mr. King's

- 202 cost of service study is largely irrelevant, therefore, to current rates and to rates
 203 under review in this docket.
- Q. What is the basis for Mr. King's reallocation of costs from the Sales for Resaleclass to other customers?
- 206 A. There are three general reasons for Mr. King's reallocation of costs from the
 207 Sales for Resale class to other customers. The first is his belief that the City of
 208 O'Fallon ("City") imposes no Maximum Hour demand costs. The second is
 209 that the City does its own billing and metering and therefore imposes a
 210 minimal level of billing and metering costs. The third is that the City owns its
 211 own distribution system and therefore imposes no small and medium-sized
 212 mains costs.
- 213 Q. Has the City demonstrated that it imposes no Maximum Hour demand costs?

 214 A. No, it has not. The City, in replies to Illinois-American data requests, indicated

 215 that it does not have information concerning its Maximum Hour demand, nor

 216 has the City presented any Company-provided information concerning its

 217 Maximum Hour demand. Without that information, it cannot be determined

 218 whether the City's demand affects Maximum Hour demand and related costs.
- 219 Q. Do the references of City witnesses Mr. King and Mr. Rich to City-operated 220 storage tanks equivalent to nearly an average day of demand affect demand 221 fluctuations in the City's water deliveries from Illinois-American?

- 222 A. Without demand readings at the City's connection to Illinois-American mains, it
 223 cannot be determined what effect the storage tanks have upon the City's
 224 demand. It seems reasonable to assume that storage tanks might have some
 225 effect upon demand, but there is no data to support that assumption or when
 226 the effect, if any, occurs.
- 227 Q. What is the percentage of reduction in cost of service for the Sales for Resale class proposed by Mr. King?
- A. Mr. King proposes a 42 percent reduction in the Sales for Resale cost of service through his reallocation of what he terms "retail costs" (O'Fallon Exhibit 1.6, page 11, "At Proposed Rates" section). Mr. King's 42 percent reduction applies to his Sales for Resale cost of service that does not include any, or zero, Maximum Hour demand costs.
- Q. Does a 42 percent reduction in Sales for Resale cost of service appear reasonable?
- 236 A. No. A 42 percent reduction in Sales for Resale cost of service appears to be
 237 excessive, especially when considering that Mr. King allocates zero Maximum
 238 Hour demand costs to Sales for Resale prior to the application of his
 239 reallocation of retail costs. Staff's small mains adjustment is based upon the
 240 small mains percentage of account number 331 (ICC Staff Exhibit 18.0,
 241 Schedule 18.1 S/P/St., pages 5 and 6). Small mains represent
 242 approximately 74.7 percent of account number 331 (\$77,774,711 divided by

\$104.134.517). Staff's small mains expenses total \$13.8 million, of which 19.4 percent or \$2.67 million was reallocated from the Other Water Utilities customer class to other rate classes. If Mr. King's percentage of large mains is correct at 12.87 percent, medium mains total 10.13 percent (1 minus 77 percent small mains minus 12.87 percent large mains) of total mains expense. 10.13 equals 13.2 percent of 77. 13.2 percent of the \$13.8 million small mains expense equals \$1.8 million in medium mains expense. Reallocating 19.4 percent of total medium mains costs from the Other Water Utilities customer class to other customer classes means that the Other Water Utilities customer class costs would be reduced by only another \$351,994, not another \$1,672,598 as calculated by Mr. King (\$4,270,583 Reallocation of Retail Costs by Mr. King minus \$2,597,985 Staff Small Mains adjustment to Other Water Utilities). In addition, Mr. King's assumption that Other Water Utilities or Sales for Resale customers should not be allocated medium mains costs under the theory that those customers do not use any medium mains is unproven.

243

244

245

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

- 259 Q. What are the amounts of billing, meters, and services costs allocated to the 260 Other Water Utilities customer class by Staff's COSS?
- A. Staff's COSS allocates 3 one-hundredths of one percent of billing costs, 1.54

 percent of meters costs, and 16 one-hundredths of one percent of services

 costs to the Other Water Utilities customer class, which total \$75,734 out of a

 net total of \$10,335,658 cost of service for the class (ICC Staff Exhibit 18.0,

Schedule 18.1 – S/P/St., page 12). Combined, those cost categories total only 7-tenths of one percent of total cost of service for Other Water Utilities. This indicates that Other Water Utilities customers do not pay a significant amount in billing, metering, or services costs through rates. Even if those costs were to be eliminated for Other Water Utilities, \$75,734 is insignificant when considering the amount that Other Water Utilities would be charged under Staff's rates. With a cost of service of \$10,335,658, Other Water Utilities would pay \$8,836,763 through Staff's designed rates described in direct testimony (ICC Staff Exhibit 8.0, Schedule 8.1 – S & P, page 2), a difference of \$1,498,895 and \$1,423,161 less than if cost of service was reduced by \$75,734.

Α.

Q. Have you reviewed documentation of City of O'Fallon witness Dean Rich's discussion of the viability of the City discontinuing service from Illinois-American and receiving water from the City of St. Louis?

Yes, I reviewed the City's replies to Illinois-American data requests. An engineering study has been completed for the City that addresses the estimated costs to construct a 24-inch main from the City of St. Louis to the City of O'Fallon. The City also provided some calculations comparing the financing of constructing the main to estimated future increases in Illinois-American rates.

285 Q. Would it be a viable option for the City of O'Fallon to construct a main from the City of St. Louis and obtain water from St. Louis?

287

288

289

290

291

292

293

294

295

296

297

298

299

300

301

302

303

304

305

306

Α.

It appears that with continued assumed increases of 4.5% by Illinois-American compared to 3% increases from St. Louis, constructing the main from St. Louis is approaching viability. However, some of the City's assumptions appear to be questionable. The City assumes only a 3 percent annual increase in St. Louis rates over the next 20 years, but recent history indicates that St. Louis has increased its wholesale rates by an average of 4.46 percent since 1998 (Illinois-American reply to Staff data request ML-23). Without a long-term contract with St. Louis, it is uncertain whether the St. Louis rate will remain favorable. O'Fallon errs in projecting only a 15 percent increase in debt service payments between its most favorable and least favorable assumptions from \$14 million to \$20 million, which is a difference of 43 percent, not 15 percent. The City's most optimistic estimate of constructing the main, at \$14 million assuming that its debt issue would fund 100 percent of the construction costs, is 15.7 percent less than its engineering study's most optimistic estimate of \$16.6 million. Its least favorable estimate of \$20 million is 7.4 percent less than its engineering study's first estimate of \$21.6 million. Given differences in the assumed cost of water supplied by St. Louis, the cost of water supplied by Illinois-American, the cost of constructing the main, the interest rate to be paid for any debt to fund the construction of the main, and the annual increase in sales, the results of the City's analysis of the costs of

- constructing a main from St. Louis to the City of O'Fallon would change, but the project appears to be close to beneficial for the City of O'Fallon.
- 309 Q. Would the City of O'Fallon qualify for another Illinois-American rate that might310 reduce the cost of water supplied by Illinois-American?
- 311 A. Yes, as discussed by Illinois-American witness Ronald D. Stafford (IAWC Exhibit R-4.0, page 49, line 3 through page 50, line 13), the City's water usage would qualify for the Metered Large User Water Service if it signs a 5-year contract with the Company and with the installation of a demand meter on its service lines from the Company.
- 316 Q. What would be the effect of the City of O'Fallon being served under the 317 Metered Large User Water Service rate?
- 318 Α. As shown on Schedule 18.4 – S/P/St., under my proposed rates in this rebuttal 319 testimony, the City would experience an increase of approximately 20 percent 320 if it remained under the Metered General Water Service rate. Assuming the 321 1.2 demand factor built into the Metered Large User Water Service rate, the 322 City would experience an increase of 4.5 percent. Given the City's discussion 323 of the reduced effect upon demand from the City's storage capacity, the City 324 could experience a rate decrease if its demand factor is less than 1.2 because 325 the Metered Large User Water Service rate has an assumed demand factor of 1.2. If the City's demand factor is greater than 1.2, its usage charges would 326

increase, depending upon the demand factor, up to the amount the City would
pay under the Metered General Water Service rate.

Q. Does this conclude your rebuttal testimony?

A. Yes.

ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION Cost of Service Study "Revenues at Present and Proposed Rates"

ITEM		PROPOSED	STAFF	RESIDENTIAL	¥	8		E		OTHER PUBLIC AUTH.	ľ	LARGE INDUSTRIAL	TRIA					OTHER WATER	UTLITES	TOTAL
	₹	RATES	RATES	BILL ANA	ADJUST.	BILL ANA	ADJUST.	BILL ANA	AD LUST	BILL ANA. ADJUST		BILL ANA.		BILL ANA	ADJUST. B	BILL ANA.	ADJUST.	BILL ANA ADJUST	ADJUST	
CUS CHARGES, MONTHLY	:	:																		
300 disk = 0.6 P	25	14.12	11.52	1,439,051	0	108,674	0	1,091	•	4,612	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	88	0	1553.46
545 disk - Streator	00.6	14.12	11.52	66,843	0	5,038	0	503		Ą										94340
34 dex - S&P	14.65	17.96	14.65	15,039	0	7,770	0	176	0	328	•	•	0	0	0	•	0	C	-	23.343
344° disk – Streator	11.00	17.96	14.65	4	0	8	0	22	0	6							•	,	,	287
T-CHESK II-SS & PI	21.38	26.21	21.38	5,737	0	20.548	0	748	0	2,084	ø	.0	0	U	c	c	¢	c	•	3 5
1" disk - Streetor	16,00	26.21	21.38	237	a	308	0	25	0	56			ļi.	ı	,	,	,	,	•	100
11/2 day - 5.8 P	47.46	58.18	47.46	166	0	3,610	0	23	-	512	Ċ	¢	0	c	c	•	5	5	c	8 9
1 1/2" disk - Streator	82.00	58.18	47.46	34	o	211	٥	72	0	÷		•		•	,	•	•	•	>	904
7. 484 - S&P	73,53	90.14	73.53	425	٥	13.384		1.716		4 540	-	-	-	c	-		•	77	•	975
2" disk - Stealor	43.00	90 14	73.53	×	0	98	. 6	9		F	•	•	>	•	,	>	>	<u>\$</u>	0	812.02
2" chak - Large Incustrial	123.53	140,14	123.53	•	0	c	d	2		9 5	•	£	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	¥;
O' CLSK - CORTO	¥.	173.26	¥		•	26		, é	, -	· 2		, c			9 6	o «	.	- ;		2 52
3" disk - Streator	77,00	173.26	55.14	•		: 7		: ₃₆		8.8	,	•	,	•	•	>	0	2	9	77
4* 0454 - 558 P	235.20	288.33	235.20	-	•	£		474		ž,	-	c	c	ć	٠	<	٠	4	٠	8
4" disk - Streator	130,00	288.33	235.20			0		-	• =	•	•	•	9	•	>	>	9	F.	•	1,329
4" desk - Large Industrial	285.20	338.33	285.20					· c			•	8	ć		,	4	•	,	٠	٠;
G desk - S & P				,	•		•	,	· -	> 2		R -		> 0			-	= ;	٠ ٠	8
6" disk - Streator	267.00	569.83	484.66	0	c	c	-	8	•	ż	>	•	>	>	9	•	>	1	0	228
6" disk - Large Industrial	514.66	90.08	514 66					3 6	c	•	-	ay.	•	c	<	ć	•	,	٠	R :
i de	741 05	908.45	74105				, ,		• •			9 -		- 0		.	÷ •	D ;	٥	\$
1000				, ,	•		•			3	5	>	>	ə	•	⇒	⇒	57	0	75
	8.5	8 8	00'0	9 1		0	0	0	-	0	o	0	0	٥	0	o	0	0	0	0
o cuone	8.0	8	000	0	0	0	0	٥	0	6	ф	٥	Q.	0	0	ø	0	0	6	0
8 Lybne	8	8	00.0	٥	0	0	e	•	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	٥
10" turbine	8:0	8	0.00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	٥	0
Remove Parallel Meters	80	0.00	000	•	٥	a	٥	•	0	0	o	D	0	•	0	o	0	ф	0	
Total Bills				1,546,686	•	160,431	0	4,928	٥	12,864	0	216	0	0	0	•	0	900	0	1,725,723
TOTAL CUS CHARGE REVENUE Present	_			17,739,622	c	3,145,974	٥	302.277	0	518,325	0	60,977	٥	0	٥	۰	c	28 82	-	21 955 406
Proposed	28			22,012,224	0	3,888,273	0	387,490	5	643,273	•	44,970	0	•	0			108 570		27 082 789
Staff				17,958,908	0	3,171,991	0	316,089	0	524 747		7.16.09	0	0	0	•	0	96 932		22,118,643
USAGE CHARGES (100 cubic feet)	_			(100 cubic feet)	01)	(100 cathic feet)	75	300 cuffic feet	Đ	On creat back	904	CATALOGUE CAMPA	W4/W	Am sister find	507	A. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.	ş	41.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.	;	:
First Block - S & P	2.1870	2.6809	2.5340	9,630,076		1,713,665	•	53,098		183,317	0	0	6	6	5		-	5.780		(100 CLDIC RR8)
First Block – Streator	1.9130	2 6809	2.5340	536.453	0	48,068	•	7,813	0	5,720	0	ā	0	6	-			•		509 454
Second Block S & P	288	1,9467	1,8706	493,795	0	2,735,474	0	367,443	0	659,503	0	o		۰				109.440		4.365 655
Second Block - Sheelon	1.8690	1,9467	1.8706	24.772	0	73,161	0	91,284	0	17,767	6	0	0	o	a	- 423		0		P80 900
Third Block - Southern	1.1870	1,4551	1.4950	0	0	559,821	0	085,915	•	417,280	•	٥		0				1685.813	•	2748.820
Third Block - Peorie	1480	1.4073	1,4797	3,972	0	682,502	0	313,968	0	278,473	6	٥		•				133.937	• •	1 412 752
Third Block - Streator	11380	1,4551	1.4950	0	0	2,000	0	79,978	0	76	-	¢	0	0	-	c		-		8
Fourth Block -Southern	1.1470	1.3988	1.4408	0	0	0	0	703,851	0	0	0	•	0		-		· =	3505.066		4 208 047
Fourth Block - Peorie	0.9820	1,2038	1.3427	0	0	3,034	Б	54,466	0			•	0				۰ د	out man's	÷ =	12,000
Large Industrial - all blocks	0.9572	1.1734	1,2009	0	0	ø	0	•	0	9	0	1,754,342	0	. 0						75.30
Industrial Competitive - all blocks?	0.7811	0.7811	0.8519	6	0	Ð		,335,421	o	0	0	0	¢	0	-		•		, c	1305.405
Other Water Dhities - Competitive	0.7371	0.7371	0.7741	0	0	o	0	0	0	0	•	0	0	ø	6		٥	1380 435		50 V9C
									c	c	•		c	ć	4			•		

*Current Streator usage blocks have diffuent ranges than Southern Division and Proctis District. Proposed blocks than the same ranges.

Steff proposed rates for competitive Services Tariffs were provided by lithosis-fran

ADJUSTMENTS First Brock — S. 6 P 2.1870 Second Brock — S. 6 P 1.5880								,			,	•		,	_		
								-	-	-	_	c	_			•	
										ı	,	•	,	,	•	5	>
	2.6809	2.5340	Q	0	6	0	0	6		-	c		•	c	c	c	4
	1.9487	1.8705	0	0	0	0					· •		, c			> =	9 6
		1,4950		0	6	0	•								, -		
		1,4787	0	0	•	0	0	6	•		• •				÷ c	> c	
		1.4408	0	0	0	0	0	٥	0	- 52	•	•	. =				
	1.2036	1.3427	6	0	c	0	۰	0	0		0					۰ د	
		12009	0	-	Ф	0	0	•	0	-	c	. 0	. =				
		0.8519	0	-	0	0	۰	0	0	-		. =	. =		• =		
		0.7741	0	0	o	0	0	0							· •	> 5	
00000	0.000	0.0000	0	0	٥	0	•	0						s ¢		. 6	
0.000	0.0000	0.000	0	0	o	o	0	٥									
00000	0.000	0.0000	o	6	0	٥	0	. 0	0		0				۰ د		> <
Total Usage			10,689,068	o	5,817,725	0	,093,237	0	1.562,136	-	1,754,342						6.800.451

Thio Segaq

ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION "Revenues at Present and Proposed Rates"

Illinois-American Water Company Docket No. 02-0690 ICC Staff Exhibit 18.0 Schedule 18.1 - S/P/St. (Revised)

	6.66		0.001		9 88		0.0		0.0		£86		9.76		2.88		T.001		102'4	Percent Cost of Service
	1.84		6.61		8.22		0.0		0.0		54.8		1.51		8.61		5.41		6'6	Percent increase
	434'345		S86,014,6		89¥'88Z'01	•	0		0		199'961'Z		3,386,240	•	767,588,8		14,644,815		648,814,S4	Cost of Service
	PV1 FIRE		PUB. FIRE		HER WTR. UTIL.	по				:	rsugni ∋99A	7	HTUA CHIBUS	1	JAIRTEUON	ı	COMMERCIAL	•	RESIDENTIAL	PER 51AFF
	118,500,28		9/5/961/5		986,721,6		0		0		997,781,5		1.79,805.E		211'192'9		44,743,949		108'969'07	hat2
	186,668,16	-	108,158,8		TCS, 288, 8		0		0		2,103,515		3,467,694		997,278,8		818,088,81		567,582,755	Proposed
	E96 160'S/		4,727,192		701,061,7		0		0 ~		740,233		2,823,210		4,809,226		15,905,023		40 656 B83	Insperi
	1A101		UON-METERED		JITU. JITW REH	ΠO					ARGE INDUST	1	PUBLIC ALITH.	ı	JAIRTRUGN	<u>l</u>	COMMERCIAL		RESIDENTIAL	TOTAL REVENUES
							9/3'88p'S			891, SSE		591,909		4,410,415	4,281,355	159,061		#90°#E#		Thats
							108,158,8			166.386	ZI-1W	606'16Z		4.584,250	691,331,4	190'821		192°69°E		pesodu.d
							781 121 7			108 BTE	11-78	506,182		3,823,628	782,4483,€	150 021		283,054		Present
						(ON METEREC)N		REVENUES	** ""	DNITARE	0	JATOT		yaucke		730 600		,,,,,,
							JATOT			3JBAIRAV		ABHTO			UBLIC FIRE	4		PVT. FIRE		NON-METERED REVENUES
									98		15	504	998	944'7	167,8	085.S	54	099		(JAUNINA) atinu
									12'00		530 00	00.201	00'89	38.00	20.00	00'6	00' S	00°F		Mat8
									26 PI		230,00	108'00	00.68	38,00	50.00	00'6	00'5	00.1		Per Cost of Service Study
									81.51		ZE1691	34.87	92.08	30.65	31,T1	18.6	96. T	61.3		beacqor4
									14.00		130 00	00.Na	41.00	25.00	14.00	00.8	00.8	00.5		Present
									8TNARGY	Н	.94	ا5.	*01	.8	.9	.7	£	Tonsit assul		Size Connection
									STAVIRA										LHLY	PVT. FIRE PROT RATES, MON
362,408,67	0	90127,935	0	0	0	0	0	2,167,766	0	179,805,5	0	2'191'115		648,E47,41	0	108'989'PP			Hers	
581.272.38	0	182,288,8	a	a	a	0	0	2,103,515	0	≯69 78¥ C	0	884,878,8		15,860,516	0	50,282,765			Proposed	
117,486,07	0	761,06A,7	0	0	0	0	0	1,740,233	0	2,823,210	0	4.808,226	a	12,805,023	0	£88,828,01			Present	ZEUNEVER GERERUES
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 .	0	0	0	0	0			Hedd	
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	D	0	D	0	0	a	0	0	0			Proposed	Reconciation
Ď	D	0	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			Present	OTHER ADJUSTMENTS
169'\$99'19	0 .	500,140,8	0	0	0	a	D .	2,106,789	0	2,781,925	0	220,244,8	0	896'1/9'11	0	26,737,893			That 8	
#9£'681'65		888,277,8	0	0	0	0	0	2,058,545	0	2 824,421	0	316,18S,3		E42.278.11	0	26,270,532			Proposed	
299,603,81	0	P343,264	C .	a	0	a	0	1,879,256	0	2,303,885	0	616 909 7	0	61/0/697,6	0	22,917,262			Present	USAGE CHARGE REVENUES
	TSULGA.	BILL ANA,	TEULGA	BILL ANA.	.TSULGA	ANA JJIB	TSULGA	AMA JJIB	TSULOA		TSULGA	ANA LIIB	.Taulga	ANA JJIB	.TauldA	ANA JJIB				
JA101	R UTILITIES_	STAW REHTO						LARGE IND	C. AUTH.	Jaua Aahto	JA19T	re∪qini	ECIAL.	COMME	JAITI	KE2IDE				METI

Illinois-American Water Company Docket No. 02-0690 ICC Staff Exhibit 18.0

Schedule 18.1 - S/P/St. (Revised)

ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION
Cost of Service Study
"Demand Factors"

page 3 of 17

DEMAND FA	CTORS		
Customer Class	Max Day	Max Hour	
Residential	2.10	3.00	(1)
Commercial	1.75	2.10	(1)
Industrial	1.65	2.00	(1)
Public Authority	1.75	2.10	(1)
Large industrial	1.33	1.48	(1)
Other Water Utilities	1.65	2.00	(1)
Fire Protection	1.98	15.84	
Gallons Per Minute	11,000		(1)
Hours of Protection	3		
MGD PUMP	AGE		
Average Daily Rate		81.504	(2)
Max. Daily Rate		117.089	(2)
Max. Hourly Pumpage Rate		131.342	(2)
Max. Hourly Consumption Rate		134.450	(2)
(Pumpage plus Storage Drawdown)			

(1) Source: Docket No. 00-0340 ICC Staff Exhibit 9.0 Schedule 9.1-S&P Revised

(2) Source: Revised Reply to Staff data request ML-3
Highest reading from the years 1997 through 2001

Illinois-American Water Company Docket No. 02-0690 ICC Staff Exhibit 18.0

Schedule 18.1 - S/P/St. (Revised)

ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION Cost of Service Study "Allocation to Cost Functions"

page 4 of 17

		Base	Extra Ca	pacity	Cust	tomer Costs		Fire
	Alloc.	Cost	Max Day	Max Hour	Billing	Meter	Services	Service
Description	Code	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
Base Cost	1	100.00%						
Base-Max Day	2	69.61%	30.39%					
Base-Max Hr.	3	60.62%		39.38%				
Max Hour	4			100.00%				
Commercial	5				100.00%			
Meters	6					100.00%		
Services	7						100.00%	
Hydrants	8							100.00%
Plant	9	51.51%	22.28%	8.25%	0.00%	5.59%	9.51%	2.85%
Adm. and Gen.	10	37.11%	16.20%	28.03%	13.24%	1.08%	2.70%	1.65%
Labor B'fits Base/Max Day/	11	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Max Hour	12	60.62%	26.47%	12.91%				

Refer to last page for brief allocation code explanations

ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION
Cost of Service Study
"Plant in Service Allocation"

Illinois-American Water Company Docket No. 02-0690 ICC Staff Exhibit 18.0 Schedule 18.1 - SIP/St. (Revised)

₽iiŦ	-	tomer Costs	sno	- Age	edeO ettx3	Base	19N	Depreciation	Quity.		Act.
Service	Services	Meter	guillia	New Your	Wax Day	Cost	tsoO	Кезегле	teoO		No. Account
										0	INTANGIBLE PLANT
						048,87	01/8,87	0	0		nodezinegnO 105
						SZI'Z	ST1,T	0	0		302 Franchises
						1,690,238	1,690,238	758,59T	0	·	339 Miscellaneous
		-	-	-			200 - 01	•	•	0	SOURCE OF SUPPLY PLANT
Ō	0	0	Ö	ō	Z#1,801	292,481	401,623	0	0		303 Land and land rights
0	0	G C	0	0	1,714,892	\$29°969°\$	912,015,8	96Z,¥08	ū		304 Structures and improvements
						700,81-8	700,848	126,054	C C		305 Collecting reservoirs
					881,888	1,272,293	187,728,1	246,288	0		306 Intakes
					94,200	212,755	309,955	102,709	0		307 Wells
					0	0	0	0	n		308 Inflitration Galleries
					608,647	1,717,364	2,467,173	1,378,235	0		suiem Adding 606
					0	0	0	0	0	ū	339 Other plant
							*******		•	o .	PUMPING PLANT
0	0	0	0	840,48	172,206	120,42E	249'099	(6,520)	0		303 Land and land rights
D	0	0	0	1,024,847	2,100,639	116,118,4	767,856,7	2,476,923			304 Structures and improvements
				164,633	337,449	₩8'Z\Z	979,472,1	978,528			310 Power Generation Equip.
				(279,1)	(7.5 4 .E.)	(91/8,7)	(749,21)	746,S1	•		310 Other power production
				172,8	208,01	24,748	40,824	812,51	0		311 Other pumping
				2,252,947	788,718,4	628,878,01	788,744,71	877,881,8	0		311 Electrical Pumping
	-			7/5'7 9	132,358	561,606	580,008	450,722	ő		311 Diesel Pumping
				0	0 -	a	0	0	0	Ü	339 OtherPlant & Misc. Equip.
				•		***************************************	500 200 7		5	0	WATER TREATMENT PLANT
0	0	0	0	0	412,602	949'059	829,726,1	(21,885)	ů		303 Land and land rights
0	0	0	0	0	186,238,6	22,597,075 22,597,075	32,463,056	£17,848,8	n		304 Structures and improvements
					9,724,158	22,272,243	104,899,15	766'096'71	0		320 Water treatment
					0	0	0	a	٥	· ·	339 OtherPlant & Misc. Equip.
	2,7,1,1		·	00.001	200 020	337 003		J	Ü	0	MOITURISTRIBUTION
168'#/	249,612	146,764	٥	384,081 002	278,625	631,859	1,568,533	0	0		303 Land and land rights
560	898	018	0	879	696	2,219	350,50 A	(420)	0		304 Structures and improvements 30 Distributes and improvements
				S10 701 A	F2C F31 ZC	633 361 69	210,504,4	2,384,912	0		330 Dist reservoirs and standpipes
	002 703 70			184,844,61	475,188,72	63,126,662	712,451,401 035,103,60	123,513,25	D.		snisM fEE
	24'991'399	373 524 2					696,169,42	935,277,31	0		333 Services
		343,SYZ,T					343,272,7	2,033,369	0		334 Meters installations
307 007 2		011,44 <u>5,</u> 7					011,445,7 201.901.7	821,188,7	0		334 Meter installations
361,80A,7	Ū						961,804,7	6,144,244	0		335 Hydrants 336 Beet-flow Demonstra Devices
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0	0		336 Backflow Prevention Devices 339 OtherPlant & Misc. Equip.

Illinois-American Water Company Docket No. 02-0690 ICC Staff Exhibit 18.0 Schedule 18.1 - S/P/St. (Revised)

ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION Cost of Service Study "Plant in Service Allocation"

page 6 of 17

Act.		Utility	y Depreciation	Net	Base_	Extra Cap	pacity	Cı	ustomer Costs		Fire	Alloc.
No. Account		Cos	t Reserve	Cost	Cost	Max Day	Max Hour	Billing	Meter	Services	Service	Code
GENERAL PLANT		0										
303 Land and land rights		0	0	464,621	239,346	103,528	38,320	0	25,980	44,189	13.258	9
304 Structures and improvements		0	3,198,201	4,107,949	2,116,176	915,346	338,807	0	229,702	390,697	117,221	9
340 Office furniture		0	3,887,367	2,634,466	1,357,123	587,020	217,280	Ð	147,310	250,557	75,175	9
341 Transportation		0	2,742,634	1,544,135	795,448	344,069	127,354	0	86,342	146,859	44,062	9
342 Stores		0	63,305	58,459	30,115	13,026	4,821	C	3,269	5,560	1,668	9
343 Tools etc		0	1,489,118	1,167,072	601,208	260,051	96,255	0	65,258	110,997	33,303	9
344 Laboratory		0	239,589	575,909	296,675	128,326	47,499	0	32,203	54,773	16,434	g
345 Power operated		0	489,293	1,193,780	614,966	266,002	98,458	0	66,752	113,537	34,065	9
346 Communications		0	996,533	39,204	20,196	8,736	3,233	0	2,192	3,729	1,119	9
347 Miscellaneous		0	296,444	303,322	156,254	67,587	25,017	0	16,961	28,848	8,655	9
348 Other Tangible Plant		0	. 0	787,728	405,792	175,524	64,969	Đ	44,047	74,919	22,478	9
399 RECONCILIATION		0	. 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	. 0	9
TOTAL PLANT IN SERVICE		0	119,045,661	276,901,912	143,504,965	61,304,372	22,691,238	0	15,384,036	26,166,514	7,850,787	
Allocation Code 9		Cross check =		276,901,912	51.51%	22.28%	8.25%	0.00%	5.59%	9.51%	2.85%	
Calculation												
				Total	Base Cost	Max Day	Max Hour					
Small Main Plant in Service	ML-2			77,774,711	47,147,267	20,584,701	10,042,743					
Small Main CIAC	ML-2			23,302,927	14,126,305	6,167,606	3,009,015					
Total Plant CIAC	ML-2			26,123,201	15,835,964	6,914,051	3,373,186					
Allocated Total Plant less General					136,871,668	58,435,157	21,629,225					
% Small Main to Allocated Total P	lant				34.45%	35.23%	46.43%					
Small Main with General Plant Alk	ocated				49,432,195	21,595,427	10,535,850					
Small Main with General Plant Alk	cated less CIAC				35,305,889	15,427,821	7,526,834					
Allocated Total Plant less CIAC					127,669,001	54,390,321	19,318,052					
					,200,00	,5,						

Illinois-American Water Company Docket No. 02-0690 ICC Staff Exhibit 18.0 Schedule 18.1 - S/P/St. (Revised)

ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION Cost of Service Study "Revenue Requirement Allocation"

page 7 of 17

Act.	Utility	Staff	Net	Base	Extra Capa	,		mer Costs		Fire	All
No. Account	Cost	Adjust.	Cost	Cost	Max Day	Max Hour	Billing	Meter	Services	Service	Co
	ML-1										
SOURCE OF SUPPLY	5,856,109										
601 Salaries and Wages	3,145,635	0	3,145,635	2,189,632	956,003						
610 Purchased water	0	0	0	0							
615 Purchased Power	2,710,474	0	2,710,474	2,710,474							
616 Fuel for Power Prod.	0	0	0	0							
618 Chemicals	0	0	0	0							
SOURCE OF SUPPLY	1,569,378										
620 Materials and Supplies	360,733	0	360,733	251,101	109,632						
631 Contractual Serv.	0	0	0	C	0						
635 Contractual Serv Testing	0	0	0	0	0						
636 Contractual Serv Other	0	0	C	0	0						
641 Rental of Property	260	0	260	181	79						
642 Rental of Equipment	8,758	0	8,758	6,096	2,662						
650 Transportation Exp.	0	0	0	0	0						
658 Insurance	0	0	0	0	0						
668 Water Res. Consv. Exp.	0	0	0	0	0						
675 Misc. Expenses	1,199,627	0	1,199,627	835,043	364,584						
PUMPING EXPENSES	277,978										
601 Salaries and Wages	277,978	0	277,978	168,511	73,573	35,894		- "			
615 Purchased Power	0	0	. 0	0							
616 Fuel for power production	0	0	0	0							
620 Materials and Supplies	0	. 0	0	0 ້	0	0					
631 Contractual Serv.	0	0	0	0	0	0					
635 Contractual Serv Testing	0	0	0	0	0	0					
636 Contractual Serv Other	0	0	0	0	0	0					
641 Rental of Property	0	0	0	0	. 0	0					
PUMPING EXPENSES	34,578										
642 Rental of Equipment	0	C	0	0	0	0					
650 Tansportation Expenses	0	C	0	0	0	0					
658 insurance	0	0	0	0	0	0					
675 Misc. Expenses	34,578	0	34,578	20,961	9,152	4,465					
WATER TREATMENT EXPENSE	3,348,001										
601 Salaries and Wages	334,685	0	334,685	232,970	101,715						
615 Puchased Power	0	0	0	0	0						
616 Fuel for power production	0	0	0	0	0						
618 Chemicals	2,899,598	ō	2,899,598	2,899,598	,						
620 Materials and Supplies	113,718	Ō	113,718	79,157	34,561						

Illinois-American Water Company Docket No. 02-0690 ICC Staff Exhibit 18.0

Schedule 18.1 - S/P/St. (Revised)

ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION Cost of Service Study

"Revenue Requirement Allocation"

page 8 of 17

MAINEN REALMENT EXCENSES 1,515,771 31	Customer Costs Fire	acity	Extra Capa	Base	Net	Staff	Utility		Act.
\$35 Contendad Serv Cellong	Billing Meter Services Service	Max Hour	Max Day	Cost	Cost	Adjust.	Cost		
8-83 Contractual Grav Tederlog \$2 Contractual Grav Chefur \$4 Rental of Equipment \$5 Transportation Exp. \$6 Services Ser								1,515,771	ATER TREATMENT EXPENSE
888 Contractual Saw - Other 494 Rential of Equipment 9512 0 9,512 0,0 9,512 2,00 9,512 2,00 9,512 2,00 9,512 2,00 9,515 1,00 9,0 9,0 9,0 9,0 9,0 9,0 9,0 9,0 9,0			275,747	631,571	907,318	0	907,318		31 Contractual Serv.
Martin of Property 0			82,816	189,681	272,497	0	272,497		35 Contractual Serv Testing
BAT Rental of Equipment 9,512 0 9,512 0,6 2 2,891			0	0	0	0	0		36 Contractual Serv Other
585 Incurance 0			0	0	0	0	0		41 Rental of Property
585 Museriane			2,891	6,621	9,512	0	9.512		42 Rental of Equipment
675 Marie Eupenses			0	0	0	0	0		50 Transportation Exp.
TRANSMISSIONISTRIBUTION 7,205,107 501 Salaries and Wages 6,078,813 0,06,678,813 1,366,964 596,822 3,443,063 0 133,392 334,552 204,019 661 Salaries and Wages 505,176 0 932,735 595,427 246,586 120,440 563,176 664 Sanvises 138,383 0 138,383 0 0 0 0 663 Medica 55,176 0 0 0 0 0 0 615 Purchased Power 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 615 Purchased Power 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0			0	0	0	0	0		58 Insurance
TRANSMISSION/DISTRIBUTION 7,205,107 7,205,107 7,205 1,366,964 566,822 3,443,063 0 133,392 334,552 204,019 661 Storage Facilities 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0			99,211	227.233	326,444	0	326,444		75 Misc. Expenses
Sol Salariera and Wingos 6,078,813 0			,	,	,		,	7,205,107	'
861 Sharaye Facilities 0 0 0 932,735 0 932,735 0 932,735 0 932,736,736 0 932,736 0 932,736 0 932,736 0 932,736 0 932,736 0 932,736,736 0 932,736 0 932,736 0 932,736 0 932,736 0 932,736 0 932,736,736 0 932,7	0 133 392 334 552 204 019	3 443 063	596.822	1.366.964	6.078.813	0	6.078.813	.,,	
882 Minis 932,735 0 932,735 565,427 246,886 120,440 56,176 684 Savices 138,383 138,333	5 705 502 504 402 254 616		,	.,,					
663 Meters 55,176 0 55,176 0 55,176 138,383 138,383 0 138,383		120 640	246 868	565 427			932 735		
664 Services	55 176	120,440	240,000	000,421	•				
615 Fuel for Power Prod. 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0									
File Four Prover Prove	130,303			n					
TRANSMISSION/DISTRIBUTION 1,911,838 0				•	=	_	•		
618 Chemicals				•	v	v	•	1 011 636	
Materials and Supplies				0	۸		^	1,911,000	
672 Dist. reservoirs and standpipes 1,303,738 0 1,303,738 0 1,303,738 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 4 570 0 007 0 404	40 500	7.004	•			-		
631 Contractual Serv Testing 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 1,570 3,937 2,401		7,024	10,007	-				• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
635 Contractual Serv Testing 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0				•					
636 Contractual Serv Other 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	U	. 0	-	-		-		
641 Rental of Property 20,321 0 20,321 4,570 1,995 11,510 0 446 1,118 682 677 Hydrants 84,390 0 84,390 0 94,390 84		_	_	•	-		-		•
677 Hydrants		_			-	-	•		
642 Rental of Equipment 17,520 0 17,520 3,940 1,720 9,923 0 384 964 \$88 650 Transportation Exp. 0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	11,510.	1,995	4,570					
650 Transportation Exp. 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	•								•
658 Insurance 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 384 964 588						-		• •
675 Misc. Expenses 414,128 0 414,128 93,126 40,659 234,564 0 9,088 22,792 13,889 CUSTOMER ACCOUNTS EXPENSE 1,687,858 1,687,858 10 281,602 0 281,602 2 281,602 0 281,602 0 615 Purchased Power 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		=		-	·=	=	•		
CUSTOMER ACCOUNTS EXPENSE 1,687,858 1,687,858 281,602 0 281,602 281,602 281,602 615 Purchased Power 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		-	-	-	· -	-	•		
601 Salaries and Wages 281,602 0 281,602 281,602 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 9,088 22,792 13,899	234,564	40,659	93,126	414,128	0	414,128		•
615 Purchased Power 616 Fuel for Power Prod. 616 Fuel for Power Prod. 617 Bad Debt Expense 618 Stypense 619 Materials and Supplies 619 Materials and Supplies 610 Materials and Supplies 610 Materials and Supplies 610 Materials and Supplies 611,364,071 611 Contractual Serv. 612 Ontractual Serv. 613 Contractual Serv. 614 Meter Reading 615 Contractual Serv Other 616 Contractual Serv Other 617 Contractual Serv Other 618 Contractual Serv Other 619 Contractual Serv Other 610 Transportation Exp. 610 Transportation Exp. 610 O 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0								1,687,856	
616 Fuel for Power Prod. 616 Fuel for Power Prod. 617 Bad Debt Expense 594,580 (40,197) 554,383 205,704 89,811 155,397 73,377 5,974 14,982 9,137 620 Materials and Supplies CUSTOMER ACCOUNTS EXPENSE 1,364,071 631 Contractual Serv. 1,364,071 635 Contractual Serv Testing 0 0 0 0 1,364,071 0 1,364,071 0 1,364,071 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	281,602				281,602	0	281,602		2
670 Bad Debt Expense 594,580 {40,197} 554,383 205,704 89,811 155,397 73,377 5,974 14,982 9,137 620 Materials and Supplies 811,674 0 811,674 81	0				0	0	0		15 Purchased Power
620 Materials and Supplies 811,674 0 811,674 811,674 CUSTOMER ACCOUNTS EXPENSE 1,364,071 0 1,364,071 1,364,071 1,364,071 631 Contractual Serv Testing 0 0 0 0 636 Contractual Serv Other 0 0 0 641 Meter Reading 0 0 0 642 Rental of Equipment 0 0 0 650 Transportation Exp. 0 0 0 658 Insurance 0 0 0	0				0	0	0		16 Fuel for Power Prod.
CUSTOMER ACCOUNTS EXPENSE 1,364,071 631 Contractual Serv. 1,364,071 0 1,364,071 1,364,071 635 Contractual Serv Testing 0 0 0 0 0 636 Contractual Serv Other 0 0 0 0 0 641 Meter Reading 0 0 0 0 0 0 642 Rental of Equipment 0 0 0 0 0 650 Transportation Exp. 0 0 0 0 658 Insurance 0 0 0 0	73,377 5,974 14,982 9,137	155,397	89,811	205,704	554,383	(40, 197)	594,580		70 Bad Debt Expense
631 Contractual Serv. 1,364,071 0 1,364,071 1,364,071 1,364,071 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	811,674				811,674	0	811,674		20 Materials and Supplies
635 Contractual Serv Testing 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0								1,364,071	STOMER ACCOUNTS EXPENSE
635 Contractual Serv Testing 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1,364,071				1,364,071	0	1,364,071		31 Contractual Serv.
636 Contractual Serv Other 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0						0	0		35 Contractual Serv Testing
641 Meter Reading 0 0 0 0 842 Rental of Equipment 0 0 0 0 650 Transportation Exp. 0 0 0 0 658 Insurance 0 0 0 0	0				0	0	0		
642 Rental of Equipment 0 0 0 650 Transportation Exp. 0 0 0 658 Insurance 0 0 0	0						0		
650 Transportation Exp. 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 658 Insurance 0 0 0 0	ů.				•	_	-		•
658 Insurance 0 0 0 0	Ô				•	-	•		
	0				•	-	-		· ·
675 Misc. Expenses 0 0 0 0	V				0	0	•		

Illinois-American Water Company Docket No. 02-0690 ICC Staff Exhibit 18.0 Schedule 18.1 - S/P/St. (Revised)

ILL:NOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION Cost of Service Study "Revenue Requirement Allocation"

page 9 of 17

Act.		Litility	Staff	Net	Base _	Extra Ca _l	pacity	Cu	stomer Costs		Fire	Alloc.
No. A	ccount	Cost	Adjust.	Cost	Cost	Max Day	Max Hour	Billing	Meter	Services	Service	Code
ADMINISTRAT	TVE AND GENERAL	18,455,917										
	and Wages-employees	1,888,327	0	1,888,327	700,666	305,914	529,310	249,936	20,348	51,033	24 424	40
	and Wages-officers	281.974	ů	281,974	104,627	45,681	79,039	37,322	3.038	7,620	31,121 4.647	10 10
	s and benefits *	5,563,343	0	5,563,343	2,064,285	901,276	1,559,439	736,356	59,948	150,351	4,647 91,688	10
631-636 Outside		2,493,571	Ô	2,493,571	925,242	403,965	698,963	330,045	26,869	67,389	41,096	10
615 Purchas		2, 100,077	0	0	020,242	400,505	050,505	330,043	20,009	07,309	41,090	10
616 Fuel for		0	0	0	0	0	ő	Ů	0	0	0	10
656-659 Insuranc		1,511,540	0	1.511.540	560.859	244,873	423,694	200,065	16,288	40,850	24,911	10
641-642 Rents		195,675	0	195,675	72,605	31,700	54,849	25,899	2,108	5,288	3,225	10
650 Transpo	rtation Exp.	515,862	0	515,662	191,337	83,539	144,543	68,252	5,557	13,936	8,499	10
•	s and Supplies	158,461	0	158,461	58,797	25,671	44,418	20,974	1,707	4,282	2,612	10
660 Advertis	**	77,997	ō	77,997	28,941	12,636	21,863	10,324	840	2,108	1,285	10
666-667 Regulate	•	68.893	ŏ	68,893	25,563	11,161	19,311	9,119	742	1,862	1,135	10
675 Misc. Ex	, ,	5,700,474	0	5,700,474	2,115,167	923,491	1,597,878	754,507	61,425	154,057	93,948	10
	RMA ADJUSTMENTS	0,,00,414	v	5,700,717	2,110,107	920,701	1,057,010	134,307	91,420	104,007	83,846	10
tabor *		0	(157,873)	(157,873)	(58,579)	(25,576)	(44,253)	(20,896)	(1,701)	(4,267)	/2 com	10
Fuel and	Power	0	(107,010)	0	(50,513) N	(20,070)	(44,200)	(20,030)	(1,701)	(4,201)	(2,602)	10
Chemica		0	(361,944)	(361,944)	(361,944)							1
Waste D		0	(501,044)	(301,844)	(001,044)	. 0						2
	ment Fees	0	(108,608)	(108,608)	(65,838)	(28,745)	(14,024)					
•	surance *	0	(100,000)	(100,000)	(65,658)	(20,143)	(14,024)	0	0	0		12
Pension:		0	ů	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10
	ory Expenses	Ď	n	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10
•	e other *	0	Û	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10
	er Accounting	0	0	0	v	v	U	Û	v	V	U	10
Rents	a Accounting	0	(33,292)	(33,292)	(12,353)	(5,393)	(0.000)	•	(050)	(0.00)		5
	Office Exp	0	16,521	16,521	6,130	2,676	(9,332)	(4,406)	(359)	(900)	(549)	10
Maint-ot	•	0	(2,049,720)	(2,049,720)	(760,551)	(332,060)	4,631 (F74,640)	2,187	178	446	272	10
Miscella		0	(22,413)		(8,316)		(574,549)	(271,298)	(22,087)	(55,394)	(33,781)	10
	TAL OPER. & MAIN.	43,226,402	(2,757,526)	(22,413) 40,468,876	18,291,288	(3,631) 5,694,700	(6,283) 9,889,013	(2,967)	(242)	(606)	(369)	10
	CILIATION	73,220,702	(2,131,320)	40,400,070	10,251,200	3,034,700		4,676,143 0	380,691	954,785	582,256	
	OPERATION & MAINTENANCE	43,226,402	(2,757,526)	40,468,876	18,291,288	5,694,700	0 9,889,013	4.676.143	200.004	0	0	
Deprecia		16,141,043	(1,262,021)	14,879,022	5,838,779				380,691	954,785	582,256	
Other Ta		5,236,158	(1,202,021)	5,236,158	2,697,364	2,530,577 1,166,737	717,253 431,857	. 0	2,062,875	3,110,842	618,696	Dep Sch
Income		7,291.967	(755,162)	6,536,805			-	0	292,787	497,998	149,415	9
	perating Income	19,868,406	(1,879,932)	17,988,474	3,367,381 9,266,614	1,456,552	539,129	-	365,515	621,699	186,530	9
	REVENUES REQUIRED	91,763,976				4,008,249	1,483,616	0	1,005,851	1,710,839	513,306	9
	ecial Tariff Revenues	81,703,870	(6,654,641)	85,109,335 0	39,461,426	14,856,814	13,060,867	4,676,143	4,107,719	6,896,163	2,050,203	
	CUSTOMER REVENUES			85,109,335	0 39,461,426	14,856,814	13,060,867	4,676,143	4,107,719	6,896,163	2,050,203	
Cross ct	neck =	85,109,335										
If availab		, .44,550										
	ercentages (Code 11) from utility			-	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	t items followed by *				0.0076	U. UU 70	0.00%	0.00%	0.0076.	U.UU-76	0.00%	0.00%

page 10 of 17

Illinois-American Water Company Docket No. 02-0690 ICC Staff Exhibit 18.0 Schedule 18.1 - S/P/St. (Revised)

ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION Cost of Service Study "Revenue Regulrement Allocation"

	•		Net Cost	Base Cost	Max Day	Max Hour				
Acct. 662 allocated to small mai	пѕ		696,630	422,299	184,378	89,953				
Small mains with overhead			2,525,827	1,531,164	668,513	326,150				
Total Expense less Adm. & Gen	eral and									
less Pro Forma Adjustments				12,704,650	3,097,524	5,359,515				
% Small Mains to Total Expense	•			12.05%	21.58%	6.09%				
Small Mains with Adm. & Genera	al and									
Pro Forma Adjustments* Alloca	sted			2,248,086	1,229,040	601,790	•			
Depreciation				1,614,670	717,798	279,461				
Other Taxes				745,935	330,945	168,263	*			
Income Taxes				931,224	413,151	210,059	Total			
Utility Operating Income				2,562,611	1,136,940	578,057				
TOTAL REVENUES ALLOCATE	D TO SMALL MAINS			8,102,527	3,827,875	1,837,630	13,768,031			
* excluding Fuel & Power, Chem	nical and Waste Disposal									
Revenue Requirement from										
Small Mains	RESIDENTIAL	COMMERCIAL		INDUSTRIAL	PUBLIC AUTH.	LARGE INDUST.			OTHER WTR. UTIL	. Total
Remove From	5,576,087	2,489,168		1,670,294	668,374	589,102	0	0	2,775,005	13,768,031
Reallocate to Blocks	8,779,333	3,755,211		427,039	711,795	0	0	0	94,653	13,768,031
Net Adjustment	3,203,246	1,268,043		(1,243,255)	43,421	(589,102)	0	0	(2.680.352)	0

Illinois-American Water Coi Docket No. 02-0690 ICC Staff Exhibit 18.0 Schedule 18.1 - S/P/St. (Revised)

ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION Cost of Service Study "Customer Group Allocation Factors"

page 11 of 17

	Annua	l Consumption			Max D	av			Max H	our		Commer	cial	Equivale Mete		Equivale Service	
Customer		, ,		% of	Amt	Excess		% of	Amt	Excess		Monthly		Monthly		Monthly	_
Class	Usage	MGD	%	Ave.	MGD	MGD	%	Ave.	MGD	MGD	%	Bills	%	No.	%	No.	%
Residential	10,689,068	21.905	34.45%	210%	46.001	24.096	45.91%	300%	65.716	43.811	43.94%	1,546,686	88.75%	1,567,140	78.31%	1,551,419	87.11%
Commercial	5,817,725	11.922	18.75%	175%	20.864	8.942	17.04%	210%	25.037	13.115	13.15%	160,431	9.21%	318,255	15.90%	194,553	10.92%
Industrial	4,093,237	8.388	13.19%	165%	13.841	5.452	10.39%	200%	16.777	8.388	8.41%	4,926	0.28%	33,815	1.69%	9,832	0.55%
Other Public Auth.	1,562,136	3.201	5.04%	175%	5.602	2.401	4.57%	210%	6.723	3.521	3.53%	12,864	0.74%	60,175	3.01%	22,131	1.24%
Large Industrial	1,754,342	3.595	5.65%	133%	4.782	1.186	2.26%	148%	5.321	1.726	1.73%	216	0.01%	5,376	0.27%	804	0.05%
	0	0.000	0.00%	0%	0.000	0.000	0.00%	0%	0.000	0.000	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	Q	0.000	0.00%	0%	0.000	0.000	0.00%	0%	0.000	0.000	0.00%	0	0.00%	ō	0.00%	0	0.00%
Other Water Utilitie	6,800,451	13.936	21.92%	165%	22.995	9.059	17.26%	200%	27.873	13.936	13.98%	600	0.03%	16,488	0.82%	2,256	0.13%
SUBTOTAL	30,716,959	62.949	99.01%		114.085	51,136	97.43%		147.446	84.497	84.74%	1,725,723	99.02%	2,001,248	100,00%	1,780,995	100.00%
Fire Prot.	307,170	0.629	0.99%		1.980	1.351	2.57%		15.840	15.211	15.26%	17,083	0.98%	_		_	
TOTAL	31,024,129	63.578	100.00%		116.065	52.486	100.00%		163.286	99.707	100.00%	1,742,806	100.00%	2,001,248	100.00%	1,780,995	100.00%

Number of public fire protection bills ignored as immaterial

No services assigned to public fire protection; services considered to be part of hydrants.

No services assigned to private fire protection since customer generally pays for service line.

Fire Protection Consumption set at 1% of other consumption.

٠

Illinois-American Water Company Docket No. 02-0690 ICC Staff Exhibit 18.0

ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION Cost of Service Study "Percent Allocation to Customer Groups"

page 12 of 17

DESCRIPTION	RESIDENTIAL	COMMERCIAL	INDUSTRIAL OF	THER PUBLIC JUTHORITIES	LARGE INDUSTRIAL		·	THER WATER UTILITIES	FIRE PROTECTION	TOTAL
Base	34.45%	18.75%	13.19%	5.04%	5.65%	0.00%	0.00%	21.92%	0.99%	100.00%
Maximum Day .	45.91%	17.04%	10.39%	4.57%	2.26%	0.00%	0.00%	17.26%	2.57%	100.00%
Maximum Hour	43.94%	13.15%	8.41%	3.53%	1.73%	0.00%	0.00%	13.98%	15.26%	100.00%
Commercial	88.75%	9.21%	0.28%	0.74%	0.01%	0.00%	0.00%	0.03%	0.98%	100.00%
Meters	78.31%	15.90%	1.69%	3.01%	0.27%	0.00%	0.00%	0.82%		100.00%
Services	87.11%	10.92%	0.55%	1.24%	0.05%	0.00%	0.00%	0.13%	<u>·</u>	100.00%
Fire Service-Hyd									100.00%	100.00%

ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION Cost of Service Study "Cost Allocation to Customer Groups"

DESCRIPTION	RESIDENTIAL	COMMERCIAL	INDUSTRIAL O	THER PUBLIC AUTHORITIES	LARGE INDUSTRIAL		C	OTHER WATER UTILITIES	FIRE PROTECTION	TOTAL
Base	13,596,058	7,399,909	5,206,430	1,986,973	2,231,451	0	0	8,649,896	390,707	39,461,425
Maximum Day	6,820,555	2,531,055	1,543,361	679,622	335,827	0	0	2,564,119	382,276	14,856,814
Maximum Hour	5,738,829	1,717,906	1,098,804	461,280	226,052	0	0	1,825,539	1,992,455	13,060,867
Billing	4,149,931	430,454	13,217	34,516	580	0	0	1,610	45,836	4,676,143
Meters	3,216,677	653,242	69,408	123,514	11,035	0	0	33,843		4,107,719
Services	6,007,225	753,324	38,071	85,695	3,113	0	0	8,735		6,896,163
Fire Service-Hyd						_			2,050,203	2,050,203
Adjustments * Small Main Adjustment TOTAL COST OF SERVICE	(313,978) 3,203,246 42,418,543	(107,117) 1,266,043 14,644,815	(63,300) (1,243,255) 6,662,737	(26,780) 43,421 3,388,240	(22,304) (589,102) 2,196,651	0 0 0	0 0 0	(103,923) (2,680,352) 10,299,468	(16,674) 4,844,803	(654,077) 0 84,455,257
Percent of COSS	50.23%	17.34%	7.89%	4.01%	2.60%	0.00%	0.00%	12.20%	5.74%	100.00%

^{*} for Other and for Unbilled

Special Tariff Revenues Other Operating Revenues Unbilled Revenues Total Revenues

291,909 362,168 85,109,334 Illinois-American Water Company Docket No. 02-0690 ICC Staff Exhibit 18.0 Schedule 18.1 - S/P/St. (Revised)

ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION Cost of Service Study "Fire Protection Allocation"

FIRE PROTECTION	Equivalent Connections
Public, monthly Private, monthly	136,932 22,503
Total Equiv. Connections	<u>159,435</u>
Total Fire Protection per Cost of Service Study Less Billing Costs Less Hydrant Costs	4,844,803 45,836 <u>2,050,203</u>
Total Non-hydrant Fire Protection Costs	<u>2,748,765</u>
Total Non-hydrant Fire Protection Costs Per Equiv. Connection, monthly	17.24
Public Fire Protection Connection Costs Plus Hydrant Costs	2,360,798 <u>2,049,664</u>
Total Public Fire Protection Costs	4.410.462
Total Private Fire Protection Connection Costs Plus Billing Costs Plus Hydrant Costs	387,967 45,836 <u>539</u>
Total Private Fire Protection Costs	<u>434,342</u>

"Private Fire Protection Rates"

		Monthly	Monthly
Private Fire Prot.	Ratio #	COSS Rates	Staff Rates
less than 3"	0.056	3.64	4.00
3	0.162	5.47	5.00
4	0.344	8.62	9.00
6	1.000	19.92	20.00
8	2.131	39.42	39.00
10	3.832	68.75	69.00
12	6.190	109.41	109.00
16	13.192	230.12	230.00

^{# -} ratio based on capacity

Illinois-American Water Company Docket No. 02-0690 ICC Staff Exhibit 18.0 Schedule 18.1 - S/P/St. (Revised)

ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION Cost of Service Study "Public Fire Protection Surcharge" "Single - Tier Method"

Per Hydrant Cos Customer	\$386.51 Hydrants	Total	Municipal	Customer	,	MONTHLY BI	118		Fire Prot	Equiv. Fire Prot		Monthly R	ateo		Actual Surcharge Co	mosticos
	y uranio	Cost	Paid	Surcharge	5/8*	3/4"	1"	1 1/2"	Bills	Bills	5/8*	3/4*	1"	1 1/2"	Revenues Pe	
Total	11,411 \$	4,410,462 \$	129,061 \$	4,281,401	1,625,060	23,477	28,793	23,606	1,700,936	1,850,288					4,281,355	
Outside	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	
Alton	861	332,785	Ô	332,785	136,876	888	1,840	1,658	141,262	151,098	2.20	3.30	5.50	11.00	332,416	13.67
Godfrey	338	130,640	0	130,640	65,913	747	1,181	724	68,565	73,606	1.77	2.66	4.43	8.85	130,292	16.90
Quarry Elsah	37	14,301	C	14,301	3,940	60	108	144	4,252	5,020	2.85	4.28	7.13	14.25	14,308	9.58
Fosterburg	5	1,933	0	1,933	873	12	48	24	957	1,131	1.71	2.57	4.28	8.55	1,934	15.95
City of Cairo	305	117,885	0	117,885	15,451	0	259	527	16,237	18,734	6.29	9.44	15.73	31.45	117,835	4.44
City of E. St. Lou	896	346,313	0	346,313	118,558	229	1,402	2,167	122,356	133,242	2.60	3.90	6.50	13.00	346,428	11.38
Village of Brookly	35	13,528	0	13,528	3,585	12	72	144	3,813	4,503	3.00	4.50	7.50	15.00	13,509	9.08
French Village	59	22,804	5,013	17,791	3,799	12	60	36	3,907	4,147	4.29	6.44	10.73	21.45	17,791	5.52
Village of Sauget	45	17,393	17,113	280	982	12	72	203	1,269	2,195	0.13	0.20	0.33	0.65	286	2.35
Cahokia-Maplew	6	2,319	510	1,809	3,777	24	72	60	3,933	4,293	0.42	0.63	1.05	2.10	1,803	54.63
Village of Alorton	31	11,982	2,634	9,348	8,032	12	109	128	8,281	8,963	1.04	1.56	2.60	5.20	9,321	22.26
Midway	48	18,552	0	18,552	9,512	0	24	36	9,572	9,752	1.90	2.85	4.75	9.50	18,529	16.62
Vlg. of Wash, Pk	85	32,853	0	32,853	21,878	12	169	122	22,181	22,929	1.43	2.15	3.58	7.15	32,789	21.75
Church Road	25	9,663	0	9,663	3,994	0	144	24	4,162	4,474	2.16	3.24	5.40	10.80	9,664	13.87
Vlg. Of Fairmt. C	90	34,786	0	34,786	9,113	12	120	191	9,436	10,386	3.35	5.03	8.38	16.75	34,794	8.74
Granite City	644	248,912	0	248,912	133,420	922	1,481	1,790	137,613	147,456	1.69	2.54	4.23	8.45	249,212	17.81
City of Venice	73	28,215	0	28,215	8,590	24	96	225	8,935	9,991	2.82	4.23	7.05	14.10	28,175	10.20
City of Madison	123	47,541	10,450	37,091	19,376	89	265	384	20,114	22,092	1.68	2.52	4.20	8.40	37,115	13.63
Eagle Pk. Assoc	7	2,706	0	2,706	2,323	0	36	0	2,359	2,413	1.12	1.68	2.80	5.60	2,703	28.08
Long Lake	82	31,694	0	31,694	22,769	267	149	108	23,293	24,082	1.32	1.98	3.30	6.60	31,788	23.67
Venice Township	5	1,933	0	1,933	1,272	0	24	0	1,296	1,332	1.45	2.18	3.63	7.25	1,932	21.60
Tri-City Port Dist	4	1,546	340	1,206	60	0	24	36	120	300	4.02	6.03	10.05	20.10	1,206	2.50
Cloverleaf East	3	1,160	255	905	2,701	Û	24	60	2,785	3,061	0.30	0.45	0.75	1.50	918	77.36
City of Believille	915	353,656	77,738	275,918	199,425	3,448	2,959	2,104	207,936	222,515	1.24	1.86	3.10	6.20	275,918	18.94
Village of Swans	269	103,971	0	103,971	40,963	700	614	683	42,960	46,963	2.21	3.32	5.53	11.05	103,795	13.31
Signal Hill	150	57,976	0	57,976	26,244	664	571	252	27,731	29,928	1.94	2.91	4.85	9.70	58,059	15.41
East Side Fire Di	312	120,591	0	120,591	64,285	1,616	1,089	714	67,704	73,002	1.65	2.48	4.13	8.25	120,466	18.08
Villa Hills	49	18,939	0	18,939	9,618	338	77	36	10,069	10,498	1.80	2.70	4.50	9.00	18,896	17.12
Millstadt Rural	38	14,687	3,228	11,459	4,737	157	65	69	5,028	5,480	2.09	3.14	5.23	10.45	11,454	11.03
Northwest Fire	188	72,664	0	72,664	36,410	486	221	300	37,417	39,192	1.85	2.78	4.63	9.25	72,508	16.59
FrvwCaseyville	19	7,344	1,614	5,730	3,908	115	36	112	4,171	4,731	1.21	1.82	3.03	6.05	5,725	18.29
Canteen Townsh	3	1,160	255	905	1,320	0	12	0	1.332	1,350	0.67	1.01	1.68	3.35	905	37.00
Smithton	3	1,160	150	1,010	348	19		0	367	377	2.68	4.02	6.70	13.40	1.009	10.19
Mitchell	4	1,546		1,546	348			12	360	408	3.79	5.69	9,48	18.95	1,546	7.50
O'Fallon Shiloh	105	40,584		40,584	6,505	967	61	64	7,597	8,428	4.82	7.23	12.05	24.10	40,623	6.03
Columbia Rural	26	10,049		10,049	2,110	36	81	0	2,227	2,367	4.25	6.38	10.63	21.25	10,058	7.14
City of Peoria	4,248	1,641,893		1,641,893	432,856	10,193	13,104	8,741	464,894	524,611	3.13	4.70	7.83	15.65	1,642,147	9.12
Vlg. Of Bartonvill	245	94,695	9,761	84,934	31,230	312	648	354	32,544	35,088	2.42	3.63	6.05	12.10	84,913	11.07
g		,	-,	,	,	~··			00,017	00,000	2.72	0.00	0.00	12.10	04,010	11.01

Total cost per fire protection customer based on number of Hydrants

Illinois-American Water Company Docket No. 02-0690 ICC Staff Exhibit 18.0 Schedule 18.1 · S/P/St. (Rev/sed)

ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION
Cost of Service Study
"Public Fire Protection Surcharge"
"Single - Tier Method"

mections	' Hydrant	18.52	4.26	26.44	7.49	14.86	22.80	10.41	45.36	57.16
Actual Surcharge Connections	Revenues Per	52,647	13,142	27,927	52,182	27,435	9,685	204,422	1,162	9,984
	1 112"	8.15	37.00	5.90	20.00	10.45	7.00	14.80	2.75	2.75
ge.	÷	4.08	18.50	2.95	10.00	5.23	3.50	7.40	1 38	1.38
Monthly Rates	3/4"	2.45	11.10	1.77	6.00	3.14	2.10	44	0.83	0.83
	.8/8	1.63	7.40	1.18	4.00	2.09	1.40	2.86	0.55	0.55
Equin. Fire Prot	≅	32,297	1,776	23,667	13,046	13,126	6,918	69,062	2,113	18,153
Fire Prot	Biffs	30,223	1,740	22,842	12,139	12,660	6,840	65,989	1,633	17,835
	1 1/2"	346	0	134	136	12	13	545	120	72
	÷	428	12	142	160	502	12	505	0	12
NTHLY BILLS	3/4*	98	36	152	245	221	77	294	0	24
MC	5/8*	29,353	1,692	22,414	11,598	12,222	6,792	64,648	1,513	17,727
Customer	Surcharge	52,565	13,141	27,829	52,179	27,442	9,683	204,077	1,160	10,049
Municipal	Paid							0	0	0
Total	Cost	52,565	13,141	27,829	52,179	27,442	9,663	204,077	1,160	10,049
\$386.51 Hydrants		136	34	72	135	F	32	528	m	92
Per Hydrant Cos Customer		Limestone	Tuscarora	West Peoria	Dunlap	Chillicothe	Logan-Trivoli	City of Streator	Leonore Fire Dis	Reading Twnshp

Total cost per fire protection customer based on number of Hydrants

Illinois-American Water Company Docket No. 02-0690 ICC Staff Exhibit 18.0 Schedule 18.1 - S/P/St. (Revised)

ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION Cost of Service Study "Equiv. Meters and Services"

page 16 of 17

ITEM	METER RATIO	SERVICE F RATIO	RESIDENTAL	COMMERCIAL II	NDUSTRIAL	OTHER PUB. AUTHORITIES	LARGE INDUSTRIAL			ER WATER FILITIES	TOTAL
METER SIZE											
5/8" disk	1.0	1.0	1,524,894	113,709	1,294	4,868	-	-	_	36	1,644,801
3/4" disk	1.5	1.1	15,179	7,903	261	367	-	-	-		23,710
1" disk	2.5	1.4	5,974	20,856	805	2,143	-	-	-	_	29,778
1 1/2" disk	5.0	1.8	190	3,821	192	530	_	-	-	-	4,733
2" disk	8.0	2.5	449	13,582	1,779	4,613	72	-	-	144	20,639
3" disk	15.0	3.0	-	121	85	83	-	-	-	12	301
4" disk	25.0	4.0		439	474	176	96	-	_	240	1,425
6" disk	50.0	5.0	-	-	36	84	48	-	-	144	312
8" disk	80.0	6.0	-	-	-	-	_	-	_	24	24
4" turbine	30.0	4.0	-	-	_	-		-	-	_	
6" turbine	62.5	5.0	-	-	_	-	-	=	_	_	_
8" turbine	90.0	6.0	-	-	-	=	-	=	-	-	
10" turbine	145.0	6.5	_	_	_	-	_	-	_	-	_
Parallel			-	-	_	-	-	-	_	-	-
			_	_	_	-	-	-	-	-	_
			_	-	-	-	-	-	_	_	_
			-	_	_	-	_	-	-	-	_
			-	_	=	=	-	-	_	-	_
			-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Equiv Meters			1,567,140	318,255	33,815	60,175	5,376	-	-	16,488	2,001,248
Equiv Services			1,551,419	194,553	9,832	22,131	804	-	-	2,256	1,780,995

Illinois-American Water Company Docket No. 02-0690 ICC Staff Exhibit 18.0 Schedule 18.1 - SIP/St. (Revised)

ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION Cost of Service Study "Depreciation Expense Allocation"

ICC Staff Exhibit 18.0 Schedule 18.1 - S/P/St. (Revised)				"Dep	"Depreciation Expense Allocation"	se Allocation"						
t d		ANGIO	Staff	Ž	Base	Extra Capacity		Cust	Customer Costs		Ę.	Alloc
No. Account		Depreciation	Adjust	Cost	Cost	Max Day	Max Hour	Billing	Meter	Services	Service	Code
INTANGIBLE PLANT	221,431											
301 Organization		91,795	0	91,795	91,795							_
302 Franchises		0	Ď.	0	0							
339 Miscelleneous	;	129,636	Þ	129,636	128,636							-
SOURCE OF SUPPLY PLANT	340,508	ć	c	c	c	c	-	c	c	c	c	Ē
SUS Land and land rights		CUC DUC	> C	300 300	150.351		o =	> E	• •			i G
SUPER CONTROLLINGS BITCH IMPROVED BITCH		13.961		13.861	13.861	- 33	•	•	,	•	,	-
200 Leading reservoirs		50.678	, ¢	90,808	80	15340						2
202 Male		9615	-	9615	6.693	2,822						7
309 PVSIIS 309 Inditrotion Collectes		000		9	0	0						~
300 Suroly mains		27.354	. 0	57.354	36,923	17,431						2
339 Officerulant		0	0	0		0						~
TAM TO CALLED TO THE	1272.046											
303 Land and land rinklis.		0	0	0	0	0	o	0	0	٥	0	ŧ
304 Shrednes and improvements		478.430	0	478,430	290,026	126,626	61,778	0	0	0	0	5
340 Power Consoline Emin		188	c	51,985	31,520	13,762	6,714					12
340 Other nower production		0		0			0					12
311 Hetraille Pimoipo		1627	c	282	4.620	2017	88					12
311 Floring Director		711.411	. 0	711,411	431,260	188,290	91,862					15
311 Disease Promotion		22.588	0	22,588	13,683	5,978	2,917					12
339 OtherPlant & Miss Forio		5	0	0	0	Đ	0					12
WATER TREATMENT PLANT	3,753,369											
302 Land and land rights		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	D	0	0	æ
304 Structures and improvements		1,010.365	Ð	1,010,365	703,301	307,064	0	0	0	0	0	5
320 Water treatment		2,743,004	0	2,743,004	1,909,366	833,638						2
339 Other Plant & Misc. Equip.		0	0	0	0	0						5
TRANSMISSION/DISTRIBUTION	7,167,284											
		0	0	0	0	٥	0	0	0	0 1	o !	æ :
304 Structures and improvements		210	0	210	æ	ę	13	0	5	4	ŧ	æ.
		165,879	0	165,879			165,879					₹ .
331 Mains		2,122,152	0	2,122,152	1,286,455	561,672	274,025					12
333 Services		2,620,312	0	2,620,312						2,620,312		. •
334 Meters		1,289,642	0	1,289,642					1,289,642			a c
		447,951	0	196					447,951		0.00	٥٠
		521,138	0	521,138						•	27,138	a *
336 Backflow Prevention Devices		0 1	.	0 (•	c	c	c	c	0	c	- 5
0		0	0	0	5	>	•	5	>	>	>	2
GENERAL PLANT	1,707,270	,		•	c	¢	¢	ć	c	-	c	a
303 Land and land rights		0	-	0 000	2 6	0 000	0 65	5 6	9	962.87	9	n q
		213,927	0 0	126,512	5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	36,385 000,000	10,312 709 at		2,000	4 4 6 2	31.768	n or
		9 (S)	9 0	096,507	105,100	24,000	00,000		016,001	300	17.501	
341 Transportation		62/28		462,130	216,00	90617	- Sec. 10		265	PS +	§ 5	. 0
		136,6		20,06	10.43 ECA. CT	387	91		180	17.807	3540	• •
343 100k ek		38,006		20°52	14 914	6.464	282	. 0	5209	7.946	1580	a
344 Lacolatory		112 620		10000	10, 14	151.01	2429		15614	23.546	4683	•
346 Communications		50,338		85.05	19.753	195	2427	0	6.979	10,524	2083	· ca
347 Misselaneurs		14.950	0	14,950	2,867	2543	121	0	2,073	3,126	622	6
348 Other Tenople Plant			0	0	0	0	٥	0	0	0	0	σι
399 RECONCILIATION		0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	c	d)
TOTAL DEPRECIATION		14,461,908	0	14,461,908	5,809,634	2,421,975	686,471	0	1,974,345	2,977,337	592,145	
										;		
Allocation Code 9 Calculation	õ	Cross check =		14,461,908	39.24%	47.04%	%28. *	%00°0	13.86%	%16:0Z	4.16% 100.00%	%,000

Docket No. 02-0690 ICC Staff Exhibit 18.0 Schedule 18.2- S/P/St. (Revised)

ILLINOIS-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY DOCKET NO. 00-0340 TYPICAL RESIDENTIAL BILL COMPARISON (5/8 INCH METER)

SOUTHERN DIVISION, PEORIA DISTRICT, and STREATOR DISTRICT

 FACILITIES CHARGE
 CURRENT
 COMPANY PROPOSED
 STAFF PROPOSED

 \$11.52
 \$14.12
 \$11.52

 USAGE CHARGE (CCF)
 \$2.1870
 \$2.6809
 \$2.5340

FIRE SURCHARGE \$2.62 \$3.21 \$3.13 City of Peoria

				COMPANY			STAFF		
	USAGE	USAGE	CURRENT	PROPOSED			PROPOSED)	
LINE	100'S	IN	MONTHLY	MONTHLY	DOLLAR	PERCENT	MONTHLY	DOLLAR	PERCENT
NO.	CU. FT.	GALLONS	BILL	BILL	INCREASE	INCREASE	BILL	INCREASE	INCREASE
1	1	748	\$16.33	\$20.01	\$3.68	22.54%	\$17.18	\$0.85	5.2%
2	2	1,496	\$18.51	\$22.69	\$4.18	22.58%	\$19.72	\$1.21	6.5%
3	3	2,244	\$20.70	\$25.37	\$4.67	22.56%	\$22.25	\$1.55	7.5%
4	4	2,992	\$22.89	\$28.05	\$5.16	22.54%	\$24.79	\$1.90	8.3%
5	5	3,740	\$25.08	\$30.73	\$5.65	22.53%	\$27.32	\$2.24	8.9%
6	6	4,488	\$27.26	\$33.42	\$6.16	22.60%	\$29.85	\$2.59	9.5%
7*	7	5,236	\$29.45	\$36.10	\$6.65	22.58%	\$32.39	\$2.94	10.0%
8	- 8	5,984	\$31.64	\$38.78	\$7.14	22.57%	\$34.92	\$3.28	10.4%
9	9	6,732	\$33.82	\$41.46	\$7.64	22.59%	\$37.46	\$3.64	10.8%
10	10	7,480	\$36.01	\$44.14	\$8.13	22.58%	\$39.99	\$3.98	11.1%

FIRE SURCHARGE	\$1.75	\$2.15	\$2.20	City of Alton

				COMPANY			STAFF		
	USAGE	USAGE	CURRENT	PROPOSED			PROPOSED)	
LINE	100'S	IN	MONTHLY	MONTHLY	DOLLAR	PERCENT	MONTHLY	DOLLAR	PERCENT
NO.	CU. FT.	GALLONS	BILL	BILL	INCREASE	INCREASE	BILL	INCREASE	INCREASE
1	1	748	\$15.46	\$18.95	\$3.49	22.57%	\$16.25	\$0.79	5.1%
2	2	1,496	\$17.64	\$21.63	\$3.99	22.62%	\$18.79	\$1.15	6.5%
3	3	2,244	\$19.83	\$24.31	\$4.48	22.59%	\$21.32	\$1.49	7.5%
4	4	2,992	\$22.02	\$26.99	\$4.97	22.57%	\$23.86	\$1.84	8.4%
5	5 _	3,740	\$24.21	\$29.67	\$5.46	22.55%	\$26.39	\$2.18	9.0%
6	6	4,488	\$26.39	\$32.36	\$5.97	22.62%	\$28.92	\$2.53	9.6%
7*	7	5,236	\$28.58	\$35.04	\$6.46	22.60%	\$31.46	\$2.88	10.1%
8	8	5,984	\$30.77	\$37.72	\$6.95	22.59%	\$33.99	\$3.22	10.5%
9	9	6,732	\$32.95	\$40.40	\$7.45	22.61%	\$36.53	\$3.58	10.9%
10	10	7,480	\$35.14	\$43.08	\$7.94	22.60%	\$39.06	\$3.92	11.2%

Notes:

^{*} Typical monthly residential usage

Docket No. 02-0690 ICC Staff Exhibit 18.0 Schedule 18.2- S/P/St. (Revised)

ILLINOIS-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY DOCKET NO. 00-0340 TYPICAL RESIDENTIAL BILL COMPARISON (5/8 INCH METER)

SOUTHERN DIVISION, PEORIA DISTRICT, and STREATOR DISTRICT

		COMPANY	STAFF
	CURRENT	PROPOSED	PROPOSED
FACILITIES CHARGE	\$9.00	\$14.12	\$11.52
USAGE CHARGE (CCF)	\$1.9130	\$2.6809	\$2.5340

FIRE SURCHARGE \$4.37 \$4.37

				COMPANY			STAFF		
	USAGE	USAGE	CURRENT	PROPOSED			PROPOSED	l	
LINE	100'S	IN	MONTHLY	MONTHLY	DOLLAR	PERCENT	MONTHLY	DOLLAR	PERCENT
NO.	CU. FT.	GALLONS	BILL	BILL	INÇREASE	INCREASE	BILL	INCREASE	INCREASE
1	1 1	748	\$15.28	\$21.17	\$5.89	38.55%	\$17.01	\$1.73	11.3%
2	2	1,496	\$17.20	\$23.85	\$6.65	38.66%	\$19.55	\$2.35	13.7%
3	3	2,244	\$19.11	\$26.53	\$7.42	38.83%	\$22.08	\$2.97	15.5%
4	4	2,992	\$21.02	\$29.21	\$8.19	38.96%	\$24.62	\$3.60	17.1%
5	5	3,740	\$22.94	\$31.89	\$8.95	39.01%	\$27.15	\$4.21	18.4%
6	6	4,488	\$24.85	\$34.58	\$9.73	39.15%	\$29.68	\$4.83	19.4%
7*	7	5,236	\$26.76	\$37.26	\$10.50	39.24%	\$32.22	\$5.46	20.4%
8	8	5,984	\$28.67	\$39.94	\$11.27	39.31%	\$34.75	\$6.08	21.2%
9	9	6,732	\$30.59	\$42.62	\$12.03	39.33%	\$37.29	\$6.70	21.9%
10	10	7,480	\$32.50	\$45.30	\$12.80	39.38%	\$39.82	\$7.32	22.5%

\$2.96

City of Streator

Notes

^{*} Typical monthly residential usage

Docket No. 02-0690 ICC Staff Exhibit 18.0 Schedule 18.3 - S/P/St. (Revised)

ILLINOIS-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY

Analysis of Metered Large Water User Rate

	Cost of Service (1)	Small Mains Adjustment (1)	Net Cost of <u>Service</u>	Usage in CCF (2)	Load <u>Factor</u>	<u>Rate</u>
Base Costs Maximum Day/Hour Costs	\$ 2,231,451 561,879	\$ (357,116) (231,987)	\$ 1,874,336 329,892	\$1,754,342 1,754,342	1 0.20	\$ 1.0684 0.1325
	\$ 2,793,331	<u>\$ (589,102)</u>	\$ 2,204,228			\$ 1.2009

⁽¹⁾ Schedule 18.1 - S/P/St., page 12.

⁽²⁾ Schedule 18.1 - S/P/St., page 1.

Docket No. 02-0690 ICC Staff Exhibit 18.0 Schedule 18.4 - S/ P/St. (Revised)

ILLINOIS-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY

Analysis of Billings to City of O'Fallon

		Current <u>Rates</u>	ff-proposed es Rebuttal	. 1	aff-proposed Large User te Rebuttal	
Customer Charge 1st Block 2nd Block 3rd Block 4th Block	\$	1,906 66 905 14,719 155,492	\$ 1,906 76 1,066 18,538 196,348	\$	2,106 179,267	d
	\$	173,087	\$ 217,934	\$	181,372	Average Monthly cost
	<u>\$</u>	1.15950	\$ 1.45993	\$	1.21501	Average Rate per CCF
			 0.2591		0.0479	increase (decrease) to O'Fallon

149,277 Average test year monthly usage billing units in CCF. = 1,791,325 annual CCF divided by 12 months

Assumes demand factor of 1.2

Docket No. 02-0690 ICC Staff Exhibit 18.0 Schedule 18.5 – STP (Revised) (applies to both S/P/St. and Pontiac)

ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION Cost of Service Study "Explanation of Allocation Codes"

- This code refers to allocations made 100 percent to Base Cost. Base Costs are costs which tend to vary with the quantity of water used and do not contain elements necessary to meet variations in demand.
- This code refers to allocations divided between Base Cost and Extra Capacity Cost on the ratio of the average annual consumption per day to the maximum consumption on the Maximum Day. Extra Capacity costs are those costs associated with meeting rate of use requirements in excess of the average.
- 3 This code refers to allocations divided between Base Cost and Extra Capacity Cost on the ratio of the average annual consumption per day to the maximum hourly consumption.
- 4 This code refers to allocations made 100 percent to Extra Capacity Maximum Hour.
- This code refers to allocations made 100 percent to commercial costs associated with serving customers irrespective to the amount of water used or the maximum demand. They include meter reading, billing, customer accounting and collection expenses.
- This code refers to allocations made 100 percent to maintenance and capital charges on customer meters.
- 7 This code refers to allocations made 100 percent to maintenance and capital charges on customer services
- 8 This code refers to allocations made 100 percent to Fire Protection Hydrants.
- 9 This code refers to allocations divided among various cost functions in the same ratio as the average allocation of plant in service as developed and shown on page 6 of 17 of this Schedule.
- This code refers to allocations divided among various cost functions in the same ratio as the average allocation of operating and maintenance expenses has been allocated before administrative and general expenses and without considering fuel, power and chemical costs.
- This code refers to allocations divided among various cost functions in the same ratio as the average allocation of labor costs if available or on the basis of Allocation Code 10 if not.
- 12 This code refers to allocations divided among Base Cost, Extra Capacity Maximum Day and Extra Capacity Maximum Hour.
- This code refers to allocations divided among various cost functions in the same percentage ratio as the average of all items in that subgroup.

ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION
Cost of Service Study
"Revenues at Prosent and Proposed Rates"

TOTAL WA. ADJUST, BILLANA, ADJUST.	0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 1,103				, , ,										, o	c	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			100 cubic feet) (100 cubic feet) (100 cu	0 0 0				0 0 0 0	0 0 0		0 0 0						0		0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0		0 0 0	
4. ADJUST. BILL ANA	0 0	0 0	0	•				0 0	0							0	Ū	0	-			(100 cubic)	0 0								0 0												000
BLIC AUTH ADJUST. BILL ANA	0		ဘင်			. 0	0			0	. 0	o (0)					_	0	c	. 0		(100 cubic feet	.			, 0		0			0		4	,	, ,			0					200
ADJUST. BILL ANA. ADJUS'	0 137		5 5	-					0	0	. 0					0 0	0 420	0 18,401	0 22,558	0 27,965	\$	(TIUU OLIDIO TIBER)	0 35,001	139.445	}	0		0 0	0 0	0	0 0	0	ć	00	, =	. 0	0	0 0	0	0	_	> 0	
M. INDUSTRIAL ADJUST. BILL ANA. AD														0 0		0	0 204	0 10,042	0 12,310		5 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	(iwo cubic reet)	0 1,770	520,12	00021	0 45,191	0 98,360	0 0	0 0	0 0	00	0	c		, 0	0	0 0	0 0	0	0 0			
COMMERCIAL BILL ANA. ADJ	3,575	262	80°	2 2		ဖ	0	a	0	0	0	. 0	0	0	0	0	4,874	67,441	82.692	89,114	17 - 13 - 13 - 13 - 13 - 13 - 13 - 13 -	o cubic leel)	210'82	C	. 0	0	Ö	0	0	о :	.	, 0	c		. 0	0	0	0	0 (0 6	=	, с	000
RESIDENTIAL BILL ANA. ADJUST.			97,			0 0	0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0	0	0	0 0		0	44,729 0	433,573 0	531,692 0							0		0 0			0 0		•										
STAFFRATESBILL		14.65	47.46	73.53	141.33	235.20	464.66	00:0	00:00	00:00	00:00	0.00	00:0	00:0	000	00:0	4	43	S	52	Second Contract		2,3256		1.6540	0.9370	0.6500	3.1100	1.5860	0.0000	0000	00000	2 5.675	23256	2,2500	1,6540	0.9370	0.6500	3.1100	1.5860	UIXXII	0,000	0,000
PROPOSED	11.65	14.10	38.00	57.62	105.43	180.21	370.22	000	00:0	00:00	0.00	000	000	00'0	000	00:0						3,0035	2.3856	2.2655	1.6540	0.9370	0.6500	3.1100	1.5860	0.0000	00000	00000	3,0035	2.3856	2.2655	1.6540	0.9370	0.6500	3.1100	1,3860		0000	00000
PRESENT RATES THLY		9;∓ 9;5	31.00	47.00	96.00	147.00	302.00	000	000	000	000	000	000	000	00:0			REVENUES Present	Proposed	Staff	(100 orbin fool)	(100 calor leet)	1.9460	1.8480	1.3490		arge 0.5300			00000	00000	00000	2.4500	19460	1.8480	1,3490	0.7640	0.5300	2.5400	0.882.1	O.OOO.	00000	000000
ITEM CUS CHARGES, MONTHLY	5/8" disk	34" disk	1.10° disk	2* disk	3 disk	4. disk	6° disk	8° disk	10" disk	12" disk	3 turbine	4" turbine	6" turbine	8* turbine	10" lurbine	Remove Parallel Meters	Total Bills	TOTAL CUS CHARGE REVENUES Present			HEAGE OUABOER	First Block	Second Block	Third Block	Standby - first block	Standby second block	Standby – demand charge	Slandby - excess demand charge	Standby supplemental dem. svc.	Ninth Block	Ferum Block	Fwelfth Block	ADJUSTMENTS First Block	Second Block	Third Block	Fourth Block	Fifth Block	Sixth Block	Seventh Block	Nieth Block	Willer Block	Tanlh Alock	Tenth Block Flewenth Block

page 2 of 15

Illinois-American Water Company Docket No. 02-0690 ICC Staff Exhibit 18.0 Schedule 18.1 - Pontiac (Revised)

ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION Cost of Service Study "Revenues at Present and Proposed Rates"

ITEM				,RESIDI	ENTIAL	СОМІ	MERCIAL	INDUS	TRIAL	_OTHER PUB	ILIC AUTH							OTHER WAT	ER UTILITIES_	TOTAL
				BILL ANA.	ADJUST.	BILL ANA.	ADJUST.	BILL ANA.	ADJUST.	BILL ANA.	ADJUST.	BILL ANA.	ADJUST.	BILL ANA.	ADJUST.	BILL ANA.	ADJUST.	BILL ANA.	ADJUST.	
USAGE CHARGE REVENUES	Present			833,967	0	242,651	0	247,855	0	310,381	n	0	n	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,634,855
	Proposed			1,022,374	ō	297,468	ŏ	303,896	0	380,501	0	0	0	Ö	0	0	ů	0	0	2,004,239
	Staff			884,008	0	275,744	ō	300,979	0	376,380	ő	Õ	Ď	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,837,111
	0.001			001,000	, ,	270,744	•	300,010		310,000	•		•	v	·	U	v	U	U	1,037,111
OTHER ADJUSTMENTS	Present			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Reconcilation	Proposed			0	0	0	. 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Ó	0	0	ā	n	ō	ŏ
	Staff			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	ō	ū	0	ő	ñ	o o	Ô	n
																•	·	•	·	Ū
TOTAL METERED REVENUES	Present			1,267,539	0	310,092	0	257,897	0	328,782	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2,164,310
	Proposed			1,554,066	0	380,159	0	316,206	0	403,060	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2,653,492
	Staff			1,411,380	0	364,857	0	316,179	0	404,345	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2,496,761
PVT. FIRE PROT RATES, MONT	HLY										PRIVATE									
Size Connection		Less than 3"		4*	6"	B*		12"	16"		HYDRANTS			•						
Present		27.00	27.00	27.00	71.00	146.00	260.00	418.00	885.00		0.00									
Proposed		33.10	33.10	33.10	87.04	178.98	318.73	512.43	1,084.92		0.00									
Per Cost of Service Study		9.00	21.00	27.00	71.00	146.00	260.00	418.00	885.00		10.86									
Staff		9.00	21.00	27.00	71.00	146.00	260.00	418.00	885.00		11.00									
Units (ANNUAL)		0	0	180	269	156	12	0	0		12									
NON-METERED REVENUES	f	PVT. FIRE			PUBLIC FIRE			OTHER		VARIABLE			TOTAL							
					SURCHARGE	TOTAL	-	OPERATING		REVENUES		N	ON-METERED	ı						
Present		49,855		0	313,501	313,501		7,665		8,203			379.224	-						
Proposed		61,117		0	384,148	384,148		9,392		8,896			463,553							
Staff		49,987		0	313,501	313,501		9,392		9,282			382,162						-	
					,			*,***		-,			uu., roz							
TOTAL REVENUES	RESIDENTIAL		COMMERCIAL		INDUSTRIAL		PUB. AUTH.										NON-METER	RED	TOTAL	
Present	1,267,539		310,092		257,897		328,782		0		0		0		0		379,224		2,543,534	
Proposed	1,554,066		380,159		316,206		403,060		0		0		0		0		463,553		3,117,045	
Staff	1,411,380		364,857		316,179		404,345		0		0		0		0		382,162		2,878,923	
PER STAFF	RESIDENTIAL		COMMERCIAL		INDUSTRIAL		Pub. Auth.										PUB. FIRE		PVT FIRE	
Cost of Service	1,332,182		330,605		319,169		459,641		0		0		0		0		557,934		82,779	
Percent Increase	11.3		17.7		22.6		23.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.3	
Percent Cost of Service	105.9		110.4		99.1		88.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		56.2		60.4	
					9911		50.0		0.0		5.0		0.0		0.0		JU.Z		90.4	

Illinois-American Water Company Docket No. 02-0690 ICC Staff Exhibit 18.0 ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION

Cost of Service Study

"Demand Factors"

page 3 of 15

Schedule 18.1 - Pontiac (Revised)

Customer Class	Max Day	Max Hour	
Residential	2.25	2.75	(1)
Commercial	1.70	2.00	(1)
Industrial	1.50	1.75	(1)
Public Authority	2.00	2.50	(1)
Other Water Utilities	1.50	1.75	(1)
Fire Protection Gallons Per Minute	0.63	5.04	(4)
Hours of Protection	3,500 3		(1)
MGD PI	UMPAGE		
Average Daily Rate		1.813	(2)
Max. Daily Rate		2.550	(2)
Max. Hourly Pumpage Rate		3.672	(2)
Max. Hourly Consumption Rate (Pumpage plus Storage Drawdown))	3.681	(2)

(1) Source: Docket No. 00-0340 ICC Staff Exhibit 9.0 Schedules 9.1-PT Revised

(2) Source: Revised Reply to Staff data request ML-3

Highest reading from the years 1997 through 2001

ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION Cost of Service Study "Allocation to Cost Functions"

page 4 of 15

		Base	Extra Ca	pacity	Cust	tomer Costs		Fire
	Alloc.	Cost	Max Day	Max Hour	Billing	Meter	Services	Service
Description	Code	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
Base Cost	1	100.00%						
Base-Max Day	2	71.10%	28.90%					
Base-Max Hr.	3	49.25%		50.75%				
Max Hour	4			100.00%				
Commercial	5				100.00%			
Meters	6					100.00%		
Services	7						100.00%	
Hydrants	8							100.00%
Plant	9	55.71%	17.85%	11.52%	0.00%	5.01%	7.59%	2.31%
Adm. and Gen.	10	40.32%	16.39%	31.09%	7.89%	2.89%	0.93%	0.49%
Labor B'fits	11	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Base/Max Day/								
Max Hour	12	49.25%	20.02%	30.73%				

Refer to last page for brief allocation code explanations

ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION Cost of Service Study "Plant in Service Allocation"

page 5 of 15

Act.		Utility	Depreciation	Net	Base	Extra Capa	city	Cust	tomer Costs		Fire	,
No. Account		Cost	Reserve	Cost	Cost	Max Day	Max Hour	Billing	Meter	Services	Service	С
INTANGIBLE PLANT	71,715											
11 Organization	71,715	û	0		0							
02 Franchises		3,473	0	3,473	3,473							
39 Miscellaneous		68,242	18.003	50,239	50,239							
SOURCE OF SUPPLY PLANT	2,424,256	00,272	10,005	30,233	30,233							
03 Land and land rights	2,424,200	416,671	C	416,671	356,728	59.943	0	0	G	0	0	
04 Structures and improvements		38,742	2.906	35,836	30,681	5,155	0	0	0	0	0	
05 Collecting reservoirs		976,279	251,006	725,273	725,273	0,100	v	v	v	·	v	
06 Intakes		183,864	49.375	134,489	95,619	38,870						
D7 Wells		0		,	0	0						
08 Infiltration Galleries		0	0	0	0	0						
09 Supply mains		808,700	224,388	584,312	415,434	168,878						
39 Other plant		0	0	0	0	0	•					
PUMPING PLANT	845,219			•	·	•						
03 Land and land rights		2,350		2,350	1,157	471	722	0	0	0	0	
34 Structures and improvements		25,398	(4,572)	29,970	14.761	6.001	9,208	0	ŏ	ō	0	
10 Power Generation Equip.		28,348	. ,,	28.348	13,962	5,676	8,710	•	•	•	•	
10 Other power production		0	972	(972)	(479)	(195)	(299)					
11 Other pumping		0	0	. ,	ÒÓ	` oʻ	0					
11 Electrical Pumping		789,123	256,740	532,383	262,214	106,592	153,577					
11 Diesel Pumping		0	(1,252)	1,252	617	251	385					
39 OtherPlant & Misc. Equip.		0	0	C	0	0	0					
WATER TREATMENT PLANT	3,177,737											
03 Land and land rights		35,963	0	35,963	25,569	10,394	0 .	0	0	0	0	
34 Structures and improvements		1,398,419	450,437	947,982	673,997	273,985	0	0	0	0	0	
20 Water treatment		1,743,355	594,779	1,148,576	816,615	331,961						
39 OtherPlant & Misc. Equip.		0	0	0	0	0						
RANSMISSION/DISTRIBUTION	5,170,193											
03 Land and land rights		17,949	0	17,949	5,553	2,257	3,886	0	2,100	3,182	970	
D4 Structures and improvements		97,962	3,821	94,141	29,125	11,840	20,382	0	11,017	16,688	5,090	
30 Dist reservoirs and standpipes		103,903	25,209	78,694			78,694					
31 Mains		3,060,193	957,023	2,103,170	1,035,873	421,091	646,206					
33 Services		996,626	403,078	593,548						593,548		
34 Meters		405,843	103,565	302,278					302,278			
34 Meter installations		188,317	98,765	89,552					89,552			
35 Hydrants		299,400	118,371	181,029							181,029	
36 Backflow Prevention Devices		0	0	0						0		
39 OtherPlant & Misc, Equip.		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION Cost of Service Study "Plant in Service Allocation"

page 6 of 15

Act.	Utility	Depreciation	Net	Base_	Extra Ca	pacity	Cus	tomer Costs		Fire	Alloc.
No. Account	Cost	Reserve	Cost	Cost	Max Day	Max Hour	Billing	Meter	Services	Service	Code
GENERAL PLANT	945,217										
303 Land and land rights	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9
304 Structures and improvements	136,052	331	135,721	75,606	24,233	15,641	0	6,800	10,300	3,141	9
340 Office furniture	213,708	84,909	128,799	71,750	22,997	14,843	0	6,453	9,775	2,981	9
341 Transportation	166,955	50,785	116,170	64,715	20,742	13,388	0	5,820	8,816	2,689	9
342 Stores	3,877	309	3,568	1,988	637	411	0	179	271	83	9
343 Tools etc	98,825	45,669	53,156	29,612	9,491	6,126	0	2,663	4,034	1,230	9
344 Laboratory	167,331	8,645	158,686	88,400	28,333	18,287	0	7,950	12,043	3,673	9
345 Power operated	136,747	31,889	104,858	58,413	18,722	12,084	0	5,253	7,958	2,427	9
346 Communications	9,646	(1,128)	10,774	6,002	1,924	1,242	0	540	818	249	9
347 Miscellaneous	12,076	2,614	9,462	5,271	1,689	1,090	0	474	718	219	9
348 Other Tangible Plant	23,015	0	23,015	12,821	4,109	2,652	0	1,153	1,747	533	9
399 RECONCILIATION	(20,570)	4,162	(24,732)	(13,778)	(4,416)	(2,850)	0	(1,239)	(1,877)	(572)	9
TOTAL PLANT IN SERVICE	12,636,782	3,780,799	8,855,983	4.957,211	1,571,631	1,014,384	0	440,993	668.021	203,743	
Allocation Code 9	Cross check =		8,855,983	55.71%	17.85%	11.52%	0.00%	5.01%	7.59%	2.31%	
Calculation					-						
			Total	Base Cost	Max Day	Max Hour					
Small Main Plant in Service				0	0 `	0				_	
Small Main CtAC				0	0	0					
Total Plant CIAC				0	0	0					
Allocated Total Plant less General				4,556,411	1,443,170	931,471		-			
% Small Main to Allocated Total Plant				0.00%	0.00%	0.00%					
Small Main with General Plant Allocated				N/A	N/A	N/A					
Small Main with General Plant Allocated less C!A	.c			0	0	0					
Allocated Total Plant less CIAC	· =			4,957,211	1,571,631	1,014,384					
				1,001,211	1,01 1,001	1,011,001					

ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION Cost of Service Study "Revenue Requirement Allocation"

page 7 of 15

No. Account										Fire	
	Cost	Adjust.	Cost	Cost	Max Day	Max Hour	Billing	Meter	Services	Service	
	ML-1										Т
SOURCE OF SUPPLY	389,226										
601 Salaries and Wages	295,727	0	295,727	210,256	85,471						
610 Purchased water	0	0	0	0	-						
615 Purchased Power	93,499	0	93,499	93,499							
616 Fuel for Power Prod.	0	0	0	0							
618 Chemicals	0	0	0	0							
SOURCE OF SUPPLY	85,520										
620 Materials and Supplies	32,004	0	32,004	22,754	9,250						
631 Contractual Serv.	0	0	0	0	0						
635 Contractual Serv Testing	0	0	0	0	0						
636 Contractual Serv Other	C	0	0	0	0						
641 Rental of Property	120	0	120	85	35						
642 Rental of Equipment	0	0	0	0	0						
650 Transportation Exp.	0	0	0	0	0						
658 Insurance	0	0	0	0	0						
668 Water Res. Consv. Exp.	0	0	0	0	0						
675 Misc Expenses	53,396	0	53,396	37,964	15,432						
PUMPING EXPENSES	4,024		,	,	,						
601 Salaries and Wages	4,024	0	4,024	1,982	806	1,236					
615 Purchased Power	0	0	0	0	***	1,200					
616 Fuel for power production	Ŏ	Û	0	ŏ							
620 Materials and Supplies	0	n	e	ō	C	0					
631 Contractual Serv.	0	n	Ď	ō	Ď	ŏ					
635 Contractual Serv Testing	0	n	0	0	o	0					
636 Contractual Serv Other	0	n	0	ō	ů.	0					
641 Rental of Property	0	0	Ö	Ö	n n	ő					
PUMPING EXPENSES	6,479	v	·	•	·	ŭ					
642 Rental of Equipment	0,410	O	0	0	Q.	0					
650 Tansportation Expenses	0	0	6	. 0	Û	0					
658 Insurance	0	6	0	0	0	o o					
675 Misc. Expenses	6,479	0	6,479	3,191	1,297	1,991					
WATER TREATMENT EXPENSE	55,798	v	0,415	3,151	1,231	1,551					
601 Salaries and Wages	6.033	0	6.033	4,289	1,744						
615 Puchased Power	0,033	0	0,033	4,289	1,7 44 ()						
616 Fuel for power production	0	0	0	-	n						
618 Chemicals	49,785	-	-	0	U						
620 Materials and Supplies	49,765	0	49,765 0	49,765 0	n						

Illinois-American Water Company Docket No. 02-0690 ICC Staff Exhibit 18.0

ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION Cost of Service Study "Revenue Requirement Allocation"

page 8 of 15

Schedule 18.1 - Pontiac (Revised)

Act.	Utility	Staff	Net	Base	Extra Capa	city	Cust	omer Costs		Fire	Allog.
No. Account	Cost	Adjust.	Cost	Cost	Max Day	Max Hour	Billing	Meter	Services	Service	Code
WATER TREATMENT EXPENSE	19,679						-				
631 Contractual Serv.	0	0	0	0	0	•					2
635 Contractual Serv Testing	8,537	0	8,537	6,070	2,467						2
636 Contractual Serv Other	0	0	0	0	0						2
641 Rental of Property	0	0	0	0	0						2
642 Rental of Equipment	0	0	0	0	0						2
650 Transportation Exp.	0	0	0	0	0						2
658 Insurance	C C	0	0	0	0						2
675 Misc. Expenses	11,142	0	11,142	7,922	3,220						2
TRANSMISSION/DISTRIBUTION	186,179		,	.,	-,						_
601 Salaries and Wages	170,214	0	170,214	10,205	4,148	136,636	0	12,888	4,153	2,183	13
661 Storage Facilities	0	0	0	(0,200	4,140	0	•	12,000	4,100	2,100	4
662 Mains	8,760	ő	8,760	4,315	1,754	2,692					12
663 Meters	5,449	0	5,449	4,513	1,154	2,002		5,449			6
664 Services	1,756	Û	1,756					3,443	4 750		7
615 Purchased Power	0 0	0	1,700	D					1,756		
		•	•	-							1
616 Fuel for Power Prod.	0	0	0	0							1
TRANSMISSION/DISTRIBUTION	109,721	_	_								
618 Chemicals	0	0	0	. 0			4.4				1
620 Materials and Supplies	3,841	0	3,841	230	94	3,083	0	291	94	49	13
672 Dist. reservoirs and standpipes	55,076	0	55,076			55,076		'			4
631 Contractual Serv.	0	0	0	0 -	0	0	0	0	0	0	13
635 Contractual Serv Testing	0	D	0	0							1
636 Contractual Serv Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13
641 Rental of Property	0	O	0	0	0	0	. 0	0	0	0	13
677 Hydrants	923	0	923							923	8
642 Rental of Equipment	117	0	117	7	3	94	0	9	3	2	13
650 Transportation Exp.	0	0	0	0	0	0					12
658 Insurance	0	0	0	0	0	0					12
675 Misc. Expenses	49,784	0	49,764	2,984	1,213	39,947	0	3,768	1,214	638	13
CUSTOMER ACCOUNTS EXPENSE	39,835			-,	.,	,	-	2,1.22	,,_,	•••	
601 Salaries and Wages	0	0	0				0				5
615 Purchased Power	ō	o o	0				0				5
616 Fuel for Power Prod.	0	ū	Ö				0				5
670 Bad Debt Expense	18,462	(1,460)	17,002	6,855	2,787	5,285	1,342	492	159	83	10
620 Materials and Supplies		(1,400)	21,373	0,000	2,101	3,200	21,373	492	109	63	
CUSTOMER ACCOUNTS EXPENSE	21,373	U	21,313				21,373				5
	39,736	•	20.700				20.720				_
631 Contractual Serv.	39,736	0	39,736				39,736				5
635 Contractual Serv Testing	0	0	0				0 '				5
636 Contractual Serv Other	0	0	0				0				5
641 Meter Reading	0	0	0				0				5
642 Rental of Equipment	G	0	0				0				5
650 Transportation Exp.	0	0	0				0				5
658 Insurance	. 0	0	0				. 0				5
675 Misc. Expenses	0	0	0				0				5

ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION
Cost of Service Study
"Revenue Requirement Allocation"

077'7 18 29 19 108 43 69 741 7507 11 25'50 12 12'325 12 13 14 15 15 16 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17	711,4 885. 886,8 880,5 800,5 875,1 0 0 666 67,1 461 863 864 864	Poillili8 QSS,11 028 028,81 039 148,8 000,1 284,6 459 459 450 587 587 588,81	THO! ARM THO! A	Max Daw	96,891 1,873 2,683 1,787 1,780 1,780 1,780 1,780 2,722 26,327 26,327 26,327 26,327	Food Food Food Food Food Food Food Food	2sulbA 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Cost 142,318 8,239 236,187 71,487 0 44,163 17,742 11,710 4,646 6,654 2,013 240,316	ACCOUNT ACCOUN
77, 20,2,2 666 0 0 67, 20,2,4 16,6 16,6 16,6 16,6 16,6 16,6 16,6 16	865 867 890,5 875,1 0 875,1 665 875,1 86 86 86	068 968,81 0 0 0 000,1 280,6 786 786 786 828	7.86,2 2.87,25 0 0 6.77,21 0.86,2 2.16,2	086,1 017,86 0 1717,11 019,949 1,949	525.6 528.85 528.85 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	8,239 2,81,87 7,81,77 0 6,7,71 1,7,10 1,7,11 1,646 6,654 2,10,2	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	662,8 781,862 784,17 0 0 0 17,11 24,7,71 24,7,71 26,2,4 26,3 26,3 27,3	603 Seilandes and Wages-employees 604 Seilandes and Wages-offloors 605 Seilandes and Wages-offloors 605 Outside sold sold sold sold sold sold sold sold
77, 20,2,2 666 0 0 67, 20,2,4 16,6 16,6 16,6 16,6 16,6 16,6 16,6 16	865 867 890,5 875,1 0 875,1 665 875,1 86 86 86	068 968,81 0 0 0 000,1 280,6 786 786 786 828	7.86,2 2.87,25 0 0 6.77,21 0.86,2 2.16,2	086,1 017,86 0 1717,11 019,949 1,949	525.6 528.85 528.85 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	8,239 2,81,87 7,81,77 0 6,7,71 1,7,10 1,7,11 1,646 6,654 2,10,2	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	662,8 781,862 784,17 0 0 0 17,11 24,7,71 24,7,71 26,2,4 26,3 26,3 27,3	603 Seisines and Wages-Officers 51-636 Outsides services 615 Peudo De Prod. 616 Fuel for Power Prod. 618 Fuel for Power Prod. 619 Fuel for Power Prod. 620-639 Insurance 620 Tenaportation Exp. 620 Tenaportation Exp. 620 Materials and Supplies 620 Materials and Supplies 620 Materials and Supplies 620 Advardaining 620 Regulatory Expense
2,202,2 666 0 607 643 643 643 643 643 643	868,8 80,2 872,1 966 872,1 967 868 868 868 868 868 868 868 868 868 8	863,81 0 0 0 844,6 004,1 256 736 837 838	224,67 225,222 0 0 627,61 616,8 616,8 616,0 616,1 626,2	017,85 0 0 0 252,7 806,2 816,1 197 916,1	755,86 528,85 0 0 808,71 808,71 127,4 127,4 128,1 18	781,365 784,17 0 0 631,44 247,71 244,71 646 646 646 210,2	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	781,862 784,17 0 0 691,04 017,11 646,6 646,6 670,2	** and hone and hone is a footenes and benefices below 58-1-58. Outside services at 58-6-59 brushessed Power Prod. 1-16 Fuel for Power Prod. 1-6-59 Insurance 1-6-69 Transportation Exp. 2-6-69 Transportation Exp. 3-60 Materials and Supplies 4-6-69 Materials and Supplies 5-60 Materials and Supplies 6-6-69 Regulatory Expense
61 29 60 991 21 7 0 999	880,5 875,1 965 875,1 961 961	0 0 084,6 004,1 626 736 827	0 0 627,81 046,8 046,8 846,1 646,8 0	0 0 882,7 806,2 816,1 167 160,1	258,85 0 0 08,71 127,4 578,1 578,1 218	784,17 0 0 247,44 017,11 343,4 643,4 610,2	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	784,17 0 0 247,71 247,71 348,4 348,4 510,5	58-150 Obiside services 58-1-50 Obiside services 51-8 51-9 Obiside services 51-9 Obiside services 51-9 Obiside 51-9 Obisid
0 0 0 214 601 63 64 61 28	89 761 600 815 822'1 0 0	0 284,6 004,1 626 736 827	0 627,81 618,8 618,8 618,8 618,9 618,9 618,9 618,9 618,9 618,9	0 852,7 806,2 816,1 167 160,1	0 08,71 521,7 127,4 578,1 518,2 08,2 118	0 601,444 247,51 646,44 646,44 610,5	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	618 Teurchased Power 616 Fuel for Power Prod. 626-632 Insuance 41-647 Renns 620 Tenneportation Exp. 620 Matentals ≇nd Supplies 620 Advertising 620 Advertising
0 29 29 29 29 601 601 601	85 261 461 666 619 822'1 0	284,6 626 626 736 736 927	0 827,¢1 818,¢ 844,1 844,1 859	0 802,7 806,2 816,1 167 160,1 330	0 808,71 821,7 127,4 878,1 888,2 218	0 247,71 247,71 017,11 488,4 610,2	0 0 0 0 0	0 247,51 246,4 348,4 648,4 648,4 649	616 Fuel for Power Prod. 68-68 Insurance Anna SA-1-6 630 Transportation Exp. 630 Asharists and Supplies 640 Asharists and Supplies 640 Asharists and Supplies 660 Asharistory Expense
214 881 801 84 28	872,1 655 451 461 88	284,8 004,1 426 736 858	827,£1 818,8 840,£ 844,1 850,£	862,7 2,908 1,919 1,091 330	908,71 7,153 1,873 1,873 1,883	581,44 247,71 1017,11 46,646 46,646 510,2	0 0 0 0 0	581,44 547,71 546,4 546,6 510,2	68-659 Insurance And SAb-1A 650 Transportation Exp. 600 Materials and Supplies 60-667 Regulatory Expense
691 601 62 61	613 665 661 88	004,1 459 786 858 881	213,2 444,1 840,2 846,2 866,2	806,2 816,1 187 160,1 330	527,7 127,4 1,853 2,683 118	247,71 017,11 848,4 428,8 610,2	0 0 0 0	SPT, T1 O1T, 11 SPS, P P2S, S P2S, S P1O, S	49-642 Rents 650 Transportation Exp. 620 Materials and Supplies 620 Adventising 66-667 Regulatory Expense
109 84 29 19	666 461 88	426 796 785 789	048,5 444,1 830,5 828	616,1 187 160,1 330	4,721 1,873 2,683 812	017,11 646,4 666,8 610,2	0 0 0	017,11 848,4 828,8 810,5	.050 S20 Malendals and Supplies G20 Malendals and Supplies G6-69 Malendory Expense
61 29 £†	185 195 196	651 528 796	890,5 829	197 190,1 06.8	1,873 2,683 218	4,646 8,654 2,013	0 0 0	4,646 6,654 5,013	seliqqu2 bns aisinaisiii 023 gnisinevbA 033 esneqx3 ynoisiugeii 733-33
62 61	192 86	126 928	890'Z	190,1 088	2,683 812	8,654 2,013	0	6,654 2,013	660 Advertising Expense 66-667 Regulatory Expense
61	89	691	979	330	815	2,013	0	2,013	esneqx3 (notaluge X 788-88
2,240	Z96'9	Z98'8L	GU1,P1	198'BS	L68'96	ባኒፍ'በ ኔ ፖ	0	91.5'0#Z	010 MIRC, Expenses
1777	(007)	(DFC)	1626 87	(FGL)	WOL 11	VOLE AL	ABOVE FA	U	PRO FORMA ADJUSTINENTS
(LP)	(821)	(348)	(ETE,1)	(524)	(087,1)	(814,4) n	(914.4)	0	Labor *
					(M21 O1)	0	WELUF?	0	Fuel and Power Chemicals
				U	(\$21,01) 0	(\$21,01) 0	(10'15¢)	_	lesoqe(C) etseW
			(1 343)					-	Management Fees
V	U	U	-			•	•	•	Group Insurance *
						-	•	-	anniamin quan
	_					•	•	-	Regulatory Expenses
						-	-	•	Insurance other *
^		-	•	^	^	-		•	Сизботнет Ассочийпу
(8)	(NC)		(283)	(130)	(LPL)			=	Rents
								-	gx3 exitors
								-	Asia contra cont
								-	Maccellaneous
									SUBTOTAL OPER, & MAIN.
	_	-	-	-	_	-			RECONCILIATION
								578 157 1	TOTAL OPERATION & MAINTENANCE
									Depreciation
		-					(saxET nediO
		•					(E94.4E)		псоте Тахез
									Utility Operating Income
							(n		TOTAL REVENUES REQUIRED
04 005'BL		1011011	1001070	2011744	-	-			Less Special Tariff Revenues
8p 566'0pl	143,298	194,611	198,659	502,400	1,522,494	386,001,8			DIRECT CUSTOWER REVENUES
									= xoors check =
									heeni əldalisva il
) %00.0	%00°0	%0 0°0	%00°0	%00`0	%00 ′0	-			Labor Percentages (Code 11) from utility
0 81 81 9 £ 9 £ £	27,12 21,726 7 24,745 7 40,995	(8) (AS) (8) (AS) (8) (AS) (8) (AS) (826) (826,1) (8) (AS) (8)	See Opt Sec Ept 19b'611	(8) (45) (73) (685) (8) (45) (73) (685) (8) (45) (73) (685) (9) (45) (73) (685) (9) (45) (46) (686) (9) (45) (46) (68) (9) (46) (46) (46) (46) (9) (46) (46) (46) (46) (9) (46) (46) (46) (46) (46) (46) (9) (46) (46) (46) (46) (46) (46) (46) (46	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	(6) (AS) (780) (685) (687) (549,1) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 211, pt 987, pt, pt, pt, pt, pt, pt, pt, pt, pt, pt	(8) (42) (78) (685) (621) (76,7) (888) (8) (42) (78) (685) (621) (79) (888) (8) (42) (78) (685) (621) (79) (888) (9) (42) (78) (685) (621) (79) (888) (9) (42) (78) (685) (621) (79) (79) (79) (79) (79) (79) (79) (79	(8) (\(\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0

ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION Cost of Service Study "Customer Group Allocation Factors"

page 10 of 15

	Annua	Consumption			Max D	av			Max H	our		Comme	rcial	Equivale Mete		Equivale Service	
Customer		,		% of	Amt.	Excess		% of	Amt	Excess		Monthly		Monthly		Monthly	
Class	Usage	MGD	%	Ave.	MGD	MGD	%	Ave.	MGD	MGD	%	Bills	%	No.	%	No.	%
Residential	347,614	0.712	45.12%	225%	1.603	0.890	41.46%	275%	1.959	1.247	17.26%	44,729	87.97%	46,318	77.55%	45,104	86.67%
Commercial	114,460	0.235	14.86%	170%	0.399	0.164	7.65%	200%	0.469	0.235	3.25%	4,874	9.59%	8,794	14.72%	5,711	10.98%
Industrial	134,497	0.276	17.46%	150%	0.413	0.138	6.42%	175%	0.482	0.207	2.86%	204	0.40%	1,631	2.73%	406	0.78%
Other Public Auth.	166,278	0.341	21.58%	200%	0.682	0.341	15.87%	250%	0.852	0.511	7.08%	420	0.83%	2,983	4.99%	817	1.57%
	0	0.000	0.00%	0%	0.000	0.000	0.00%	0%	0.000	0.000	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	0	0.000	0.00%	0%	0.000	0.000	0.00%	0%	0.000	0.000	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	0	0.000	0.00%	0%	0.000	0.000	0.00%	0%	0.000	0.000	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	0	0.000	0.00%	150%	0.000	0.000	0.00%	175%	0.000	0.000	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
SUBTOTAL	762,849	1.563	99.01%		3.097	1.533	71.39%		3.762	2.199	30.44%	50,227	98.79%	59,725	100.00%	52,039	100.00%
Fire Prot.	7,628	0.016	0.99%		0.630	0.614	28.61%		5.040	5.024	69.56%	617	1,21%				_
TOTAL	770,477	1.579	100.00%		3.727	2.148	100.00%		8.802	7.223	100.00%	50,844	100.00%	59,725	100.00%	52,039	100.00%

Number of public fire protection bills ignored as immaterial

No services assigned to public fire protection; services considered to be part of hydrants.

No services assigned to private fire protection since customer generally pays for service line.

Fire Protection Consumption set at 1% of other consumption.

ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION Cost of Service Study "Percent Allocation to Customer Groups"

page 11 of 15

DESCRIPTION	RESIDENTIAL	COMMERCIAL	INDUSTRIAL C	THER PUBLIC AUTHORITIES				ı	FIRE PROTECTION	TOTAL
Base	45.12%	14.86%	17.46%	21.58%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.99%	100.00%
Maximum Day	41.46%	7.65%	6.42%	15.87%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	28.61%	100.00%
Maximum Hour	17.26%	3.25%	2.86%	7.08%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	69.56%	100.00%
Commercial	87.97%	9.59%	0.40%	0.83%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	1.21%	100.00%
Meters	77.55%	14.72%	2.73%	4.99%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%		100.00%
Services	86.67%	10.98%	0.78%	1.57%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	+	100.00%
Fire Service-Hyd	-								100.00%	100.00%

ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION Cost of Service Study "Cost Allocation to Customer Groups"

DESCRIPTION	RESIDENTIAL	COMMERCIAL	industrial o	THER PUBLIC AUTHORITIES					FIRE PROTECTION	TOTAL
Base	686,898	226,177	265,771	328,572	0	0	0	0	15,074	1,522,493
Maximum Day	208,312	38,411	32,240	79,715	0	0	0	0	143,723	502,400
Maximum Hour	107,668	20,258	17,854	44,145	0	0	0	0	433,935	623,861
Commercial	105,093	11,452	479	987	0	0	0	0	1,450	119,461
Meters	111,131	21,098	3,912	7,157	0	0	0	0		143,298
Services	122,206	15,474	1,101	2,213	0	0	0	0		140,995
Fire Service-Hyd						_		Acres	48,477	48,477
Adjustments * Small Main Adjustment TOTAL COST OF SERVICE	(9,127) 0 1,332,181	(2,265) 0 330,606	(2,187) 0 319,170	(3,149) 0 459,640	0	0 0 0	0	0	(1,946) 640,712	(18,674) 0 3,082,310
Percent of COSS	43.22%	10.73%	10.35%	14.91%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	20.79%	100.00%

* for Other and for Unbilled

 Special Tariff Revenues
 0

 Other Operating Revenues
 9,392

 Unbilled Revenues
 9,282

 Total Revenues
 3,100,984

page 12 of 15

ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION Cost of Service Study "Fire Protection Allocation"

FIRE PROTECTION		Equiv. Conn
Public, monthly Private, monthly	3	4,452 <u>709</u>
Total Equiv. Connections	1	<u>5.161</u>
Total Fire Protection per Cost of Service Study Less Billing Costs Less Hydrant Costs		640,712 1,450 <u>48,477</u>
Total Non-hydrant Fire Protection Costs	,	<u>590,786</u>
Total Non-hydrant Fire Protection Costs Per Equiv. Connection, monthly	:	114.46
Public Fire Protection Connection Costs Plus Hydrant Costs		509,587 <u>48,347</u>
Total Public Fire Protection Costs		<u>557.933</u>
Total Private Fire Protection Connection Costs Plus Billing Costs Plus Hydrant Costs		81,199 1,450 <u>130</u>
Total Private Fire Protection Costs		<u>82,779</u>

"Private Fire Protection Rates"

Private Fire Prot.	Ratio #	Monthly COSS Rates	Monthly Staff Rates
less than 3"	0.056	8.72	9.00
3	0.162	20.84	21.00
4	0.344	41.75	27.00
6	1.000	116.81	71.00
8	2.131	246.27	146.00
10	3.832	441.01	260.00
12	6.190	710.90	418.00
16	13.192	1512.29	885.00

^{# -} ratio based on capacity

ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION Cost of Service Study "Public Fire Protection Surcharge" "Single - Tier Method"

Per Hydrant Cost Customer	\$1,503.86 Hydrants	Total	Municipal	Customer	M	IONTHLY BIL	LS		Fire Prot	Equiv. Fire Prot		Monthly R	'ates		Actual Surcharge Cor	naertions
	,	Cost	Paid	Surcharge	5/8"	3/4"	1'	1 1/2"	Bills	Bills	5/8"	3/4"	1"	1 1/2*	Revenues Per	
Total	371	557,933	0	557,933	47,582	454	1,079	845	49,960	55,186					313,501	
Outside	. 0	0	C)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	
City of Pontiac	343	515,825	0	515,825	44,623	406	995	678	46,702	51,110	5.55	8.33	13.88	27.75	283,665	11.35
Pontiac Rural	28	42,108	0	42,108	2,959	48	84	167	3,258	4,075	7.32	10.98	18.30	36.60	29,836	9.70
	0	0	0	0	. 0	0	0	0 -	. 0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	
	0	0	0	0	0	0	٥	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	
	0	0	0	. 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	
A	C	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	
В	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	
С	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	
D	C	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	
E	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	
F	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	
G	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	
Н	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	
I	D	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	
J	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	
К	0	0	C	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	
L	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	
М	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	
N	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	
0	D	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	
Р	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	
Q	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	
R	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	
S	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	
T	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	
U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	
٧	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	
W	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	
X	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	
Y	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	
Z	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	
AA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	
88	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	- 0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	
CC	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	

Total cost per fire protection customer based on number of Hydrants

Illinois-American Water Company Docket No. 02-0690 ICC Staff Exhibit 18.0

ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION Cost of Service Study "Equiv. Meters and Services"

Schedule 18.1 - Pontiac (Revised)

ITEM	METER RATIO	SERVICE RATIO	RESIDENTAL CO	MMERCIAL		OTHER PUB. AUTHORITIES					TOTAL
METER SIZE											
5/8" disk	1.0	1.0	43,893	3,575	25	137	_		_	_	47,630
3/4" disk	1.5	1.1	168	262	36	36	_	-	_	_	502
1" disk	2.5	1.4	527	493	35	48	-	-	-	-	1,103
1 1/2" disk	5.0	1.8	91	308	36	12	-	-	-	-	447
2" disk	8.0	2.5	50	221	48	139	-	-	-	-	458
3" disk	15.0	3.0	-	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	9
4" disk	25.0	4.0	-	6	12	36	-	-	-	-	54
6" disk	50.0	5.0	-	-	12	12	-	-	-	_	24
8" disk	80.0	6.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_
10" disk	115.0	6.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12" disk	168.0	7.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
3" turbine	17.5	3.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
4" turbine	30.0	4.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
6" turbine	62.5	5.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8" turbine	90.0	6.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
10" turbine	145.0	6.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Parallel	?	?	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Equiv Meters			46,318	8,794	1,631	2,983		-	-	-	59,725
Equiv Services			45,104	5,711	406	817	_	_	-	_	52,039

page 14 of 15

ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION Cost of Service Study "Depredation Expense Allocation"

page 15 of 15

fraction of the land												
Act. No. Account		Utility Depreciation	Staff Adjust	Net	Base	Extra Capacity. Max Day	ity Max Hour	Billing	Customer Costs.	Services	Fire Service	Alloc. Code
INTANGIBLE PLANT	5.602											
301 Organization	ļ	2.682	0	2,682	2.682							-
302 Franchises		0	o	0	0							-
339 Miscellaneous		2,920	0	2,920	2,920							-
SOURCE OF SUPPLY PLANT	37,498											
303 Land and land rights		0	0	0	ø	0	0	0	0	0	D	5
304 Structures and improvements		1,616	0	1,616	1,384	232	0	0	0	0	Ф	5
305 Collecting reservoirs		19,916	o	19,916	19,916							-
306 Intakes		2,703	0	2,703	1,922	787						2
307 Wells		0	Ф	0	0	0						2
308 Infiltration Galleries		0	Đ	0	0	٥						2
309 : Supply mains		13,263	٥	13,263	9,430	3,833						2
339 Other plant		Đ	0	0	ò	0						2
PUMPING PLANT	26,221	•										
303 Land and land rights		0	0	0	o	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
304 Structures and improvements		27.7	0	277	*	116	<i>t</i> t	0	o	0	0	<u>e</u>
310 Power Generation Equip.		944	0	94	4	183	230					15
310 Other power production		0	0	0	0	0	o					12
311 Steam pumping		0	0	0	0	0	0					12
311 Electrical Pumping		24,700	0	24,700	12,165	4,945	7,589					15
311 Diesel Pumping		0	0	0	٥	0	0					12
		0	0	0	0	0	0					12
LANT	101,114											
302 Land and land rights		0	o	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	ဇ
304 Structures and improvements		38,876	0	38,876	27,640	11,236	0	0	0	0	0	6
320 Water treatment		62,238	o	62,238	44,250	17,988						2
339 Other Plant & Misc. Equip.		0	Ф	0		0						2
TRANSMISSIONDISTRIBUTION	127,184											
		0 !		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	₽ E
304 Structures and improvements		\$085	0	4,085	3 0.	786	764	0	<u>5</u>	1,101	335	5
330 Dist. reservoirs and standpipes		1,736	o (1,735	:	1	1,735					4
COL Mans		43,070	-	43,0/0	21,213	8,623	13,233			!		15
		33,187	> •	33,167					:	33,187		-
		818'87	۰ د	24,919					24,919			ေ
334 Meter Installations		6,361	5 6	6,361							14 000	uo d
		,20°,	, c	(70°						c	70'	6 1
			> 5		c	•	•	<	c		٠	- ;
CONTRACTOR MISC EQUID.	P60 02	5	-	0	-	>	Þ	>	>	5	э	22
- 15		c	c	c	0	0	0	c	-	-	c	o
304 Structures and improvements		5.091	0	5.091	2.430	, 1	410		, 96 9	98	213	s en
340 Office furniture		21,398	0	21,398	10,213	3,534	1,724	0	2.520	2.513	8	, o
341 Transportation		18,849	0	18,849	966'8	3,113	1,518	C	2,219	2,213	789	o
		121	o	127	25	23	. 01	0	5	\$	ĸ	o
		1,224	D	7.224	3,448	1,193	285	0	æ	848	305	ę,
		8,366	0	8,366	3,993	1,382	674	0	986	286	320	ø.
		6,837	φ.	6,837	3,263	1,128	561	0	906	803	286	50
		<u>2</u>	0	1,154	551	191	83	0	136	136	48	ø.
		1048	o +	1,048	900	1 3	3	0	22	123	44	თ
348 Other Languale Plant		0 (о :	0 (0 (0 (0 (0 1	0 1	0 1	0	ø.
358 RECONCIDATION		D	>	3	0	D	0	0	0	o	0	თ
TOTAL DEPRECIATION		367,713	o	367,713	178,429	59,807	29,168	5	42,638	42,519	15,153	
Allocation Code O Calculation	ċ	r drawfr and		267 749	7922.27	46.578	950	200	,	74.5	401	è
Allocations of the or the order of the order	5	S GIBCA =		21 1700	Rocat	- R.70:0		0.00%	R 1711	K#1.1	4.18% 100.00%	63

Docket No. 02-0690 ICC Staff Exhibit 18.0 Schedule 18.2 - Pontiac (Revised)

ILLINOIS-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY DOCKET NO. 00-0340 TYPICAL RESIDENTIAL BILL COMPARISON (5/8 INCH METER)

PONTIAC DISTRICT

		COMPANY	STAFF	
	CURRENT	PROPOSED	PROPOSED	
FACILITIES CHARGE	\$9.50	\$11.65	\$11.52	_
USAGE CHARGE (CCF)	\$2.4500	\$3.0035	\$2.5675	
FIRE SURCHARGE	\$5.55	\$6.80	\$5.55	City of Pontiac

				COMPANY			STAFF		
	USAGE	USAGE	CURRENT	PROPOSED			PROPOSED)	
LIN	E 100'S	IN	MONTHLY	MONTHLY	DOLLAR	PERCENT	MONTHLY	DOLLAR	PERCENT
NO.	CU. FT.	GALLONS	BILL	BILL	INCREASE	INCREASE	BILL	INCREASE	INCREASE
1	1	748	\$17.50	\$21.45	\$3.95	22.57%	\$19.64	\$2.14	12.2%
2	2	1,496	\$19.95	\$24.46	\$4.51	22.61%	\$22.21	\$2.26	11.3%
3	3	2,244	\$22.40	\$27.46	\$5.06	22.59%	\$24.77	\$2.37	10.6%
4	4	2,992	\$24.85	\$30.46	\$5.61	22.58%	\$27.34	\$2.49	10.0%
5	5	3,740	\$27.30	\$33.47	\$6.17	22.60%	\$29.91	\$2.61	9.6%
6	6	4,488	\$29.75	\$36.47	\$6.72	22.59%	\$32.48	\$2.73	9.2%
7	7	5,236	\$32.20	\$39.47	\$7.27	22.58%	\$35.04	\$2.84	8.8%
8*	8	5,984	\$34.65	\$42.48	\$7.83	22.60%	\$37.61	\$2.96	8.5%
9	9	6,732	\$37.10	\$45.48	\$8.38	22.59%	\$40.18	\$3.08	8.3%
10	10	7,480	\$39.55	\$48.49	\$8.94	22.60%	\$42.75	\$3.20	8.1%

Notes:

^{*} Typical monthly residential usage

		.
		•
•		
t t		
	·	/